

<u>- IDOM -</u> MOLDOVAN HUMAN RIGHTS INSTITUTE

FINAL REPORT REGARDING THE IMLPEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT ON MONITORING OF THE COMPILATION AND VERIFICATION OF THE ELECTORAL ROLLS FOR THE 29 JULY 2009 EARLY ELECTIONS

THE REPORT IS ISSUES WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF THE MONITORING PROJECT FINANCED BY THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE AND THE UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM/EU





This material has been produced within the pre-electoral assistance support to Moldova, funded by the Council of Europe. The contents of this material are the sole responsibility of the Moldovan Institute of Human Rights and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the Council of Europe.



This material has been produced within the Electoral Support to Moldova Project, funded by the European Union, co-funded and implemented by the United Nations Development Programme. The contents of this material are the sole responsibility of the IDOM and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Union and UNDP.



CHIŞINĂU - July 24, 2009 -

FINAL REPORT

REGARDING THE IMLPEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT ON MONITORING OF THE COMPILATION AND VERIFICATION OF THE ELECTORAL ROLLS FOR THE 29 JULY 2009 EARLY ELECTIONS

Executive Summary

(first phase: June 27 – July 6, phases two and three: July 6 -16, 2009)

The subject of the electoral rolls, of their comLPete and correct compilation, was one of the major concerns expressed by a series of NGOs, mass media and political parties from the Republic of Moldova, after the parliamentary elections of April 5. To clarify the advancement and the quality of these processes in terms related to the early elections of July 29, 2009, the Moldovan Institute for Human Rights (IDOM) imLPemented a project on electoral rolls compilation and verification. Sixty one observers of the organisation were present in 33 Local Public Administrations (LPA) from the Republic of Moldova, following their activities, as well as their interaction with the electoral structures, with the aim of compiling of an electoral roll that was supposed to reduce the worries about its quality, expressed after April 5 elections.

Reuse of April 5 rolls - a controversial matter:

In the first phase of the project (June 27 – July 6, 2009) IDOM monitored the compilation of the electoral rolls by the LPAs.

The situation in the following localities was monitored:

Two Municipalities:

- 1. Chişinău
- 2. Bălti

- Eight Districts:

- 1. Soroca (Soroca town and villages of Volovița, Stoicani, Căinarii Vechi)
- 2. Ştefan Vodă (Ştefan Vodă town and villages of Popeasca, Antonești, Carahasani)
- 3. Nisporeni (Nisporeni town and villages of Soltănești, Bălăureni, Bărboieni)
- 4. Drochia (Drochia town and villages of Chetrosu, Țarigrad, Sofia)
- 5. Cahul (Cahul town and villages of Pelinei, Crihana Veche, Larga)
- 6. Hînceşti (Hînceşti town and villages of Lăpuşna, Stolniceni, Minjir)
- 7. Taraclia (Taraclia town and villages of Albota de Jos, Cairaclia)
- 8. Dubăsari only villages of Coșnița, Doroțcaia, Pîrîta (from Transnistrian region controlled by the central public administration)

- As well as one village from the Găgăuz Autonomy (for comparison)

1. Copceac village

IDOM observers followed the activities of the LPA, as well as interviewed public servants from those administrations, to evaluate if they use the April 5 lists (essentially compiled on the basis of the information provided by the Ministry of Information Development (further on - MID)). The rolls prepared for April 2009 elections were often considered to be excessively big/inflated, to the proportion of the demographic situation in the country. An eventual growth of the electoral rolls compiled for the summer early election, would have increase the number of questions regarding the quality of the electoral rolls. In this respect, the reuse of the April 5 rolls presented, from the outset, a controversial subject.

The Early Findings Report presented by IDOM on July 17, 2009, established that out of 33 monitored localities, only three did not use the April 5 rolls (Soroca town, in Albota de Jos village from Taraclia District and in Pîrîta village from Dubăsari District). In the rest of localities the April 5 rolls were used. In other words, the rolls formed on the basis of the data sent by the Ministry of Information Development/MID, which rose suspicions and concerns after April 5 elections, were reused.

It was presumed that these rolls should have been corrected with the help of additional registers, however, again from the MID (according to the formula 2+ and 2-), namely: of those persons who have reached the age of 18 meanwhile, from the April 5 elections, of those who registered with a new place of residence (newcomers), of those who left their place of residence, as well as of those deceased. We will bring to the attention the fact that in the effort to rectify the rolls, the LPA used these registers, **as well as** the information from the civil status office (in 7 localities), while in 17 others, in villages, the local household registers were used.

Door-to-door verification - diverging instructions:

An efficient verification would have presumed door-to-door visits by the LPAs. IDOM observers reported that the CEC did not recommend such verification in all 33 localities. In 18 monitored localities the CEC did recommend the door-to-door verification, while in 14 localities the LPAs affirm that they did not receive such recommendations.

The observers, however, reported that such door-to-door verifications were done by the LPAs in 14 localities. The information presented to the monitors was, however, contradictory. Thus, in some cases there was information according to which the LPAs had to follow the CEC decision no. 2555 from June 9, 2009 (including on verification), while in other cases the LPAs were suggested that door-to-door verification is inefficient because of the lack of time.

Although the CEC representatives suggested the door-to-door verification in the 18 localities from those 33 monitored, only seven LPAs that received such instructions, conducted the verification:

- Cahul District Cahul Town (CPRM)
- Bălți Town (CPRM)
- Nisporeni District village of Bălăurești (Independent)
- Ştefan Vodă District Ştefan Vodă town (CPRM)
- Chişinău City (Buiucani City Sector, Centru City Sector) (LP)
- Nisporeni District Nisporeni town (LP)
- Taraclia District village of Albota de Jos (SDP)

At the same time, upon local initiative, other seven LPAs from those who reported that they did not receive direct instructions form the CEC, also decided to perform door-to-door verification:

- Taraclia District village of Cairaclia (CPRM)
- Găgăuz Autonomy village of Copceac (although only to the homes of those who left for abroad) (Independent)
- Hînceşti District Hînceşti Town (PD)
- Soroca District village of Voloviţa (CPRM)

- Dubăsari District village of Doroţcaia (to verify who needs a mobile ballot box) (CPRM)
- Hînceşti District village of Minjir (CPRM)
- Soroca town (PNL)

Thus, IDOM observers reported that, as it was mentioned above, the controversial lists of April 5, compiled on the basis of the information sent by the Ministry of Informational Development, are used again. However, additional lists are also used, again coming from the MID (lists sent according to the 2+ and 2– formula).

LPAs had reduced capacities for the task. The responsibility for national elections rolls quality can not be placed only on LPAs:

Another important conclusion from the Early Findings Report was that the LPAs had, in the majority of cases, low capacities/resources to compile and verify the electoral rolls. We consider that, in such circumstances, placing the responsibility for the accuracy of the electoral rolls only on LPAs shoulders is not justifiable. The elections in the Republic of Moldova decide not only the percentage through which the political parties assume the act of governance, while they also decide the quality of the governance, as well as ensure the legitimacy and credibility of a government. Under these circumstances, the responsibility for the compilation of quality electoral rolls, which are the basis of a free and fair electoral context, represent a subject of a national interest. Thus, it is the responsibility of all involved actors to ensure correct electoral rolls – be that the LPA, central authorities, as well as electoral structures in the country, starting with the CEC.

The second phase of the project - the verification of the lists by the LPAs continued:

During the second phase of the IDOM monitoring project, both LPAs and CEC were supposed to continue the verification of the voters' rolls. Thus, according to the electoral calendar approved by the CEC, IDOM verified the activities in the following two periods of time:

- a. The period of electoral rolls additional verification: July 6-14, 2009
- b. The period in which the rolls are placed at the disposal of the electoral bureaus at the polling stations: July 14-16, 2009. The date of July 16 was the last IDOM observation day, after which the process of verification was supposed to be done by other organisations, be it international, non-governmental or political.

It was found that the additional rolls verification was done in 19 out of 33 monitored localities, in the period of July 6-14, 2009.

However, in 14 LPAs such verification, stipulated by the electoral calendar, was not done:

- in 5 cases the motivation was that the rolls are already precise
 - Stefan Vodă District village of Antonești (Independent),
 - Stefan Vodă District village of Carahasani (Independent),
 - Dubăsari District village of Doroţcaia (CPRM),
 - Hînceşti District village of Minjir (CPRM),
 - Nisporeni District village of Bărboieni (Independent)
- In 6 cases without us having the information
 - Nisporeni District village of Bălăurești (Independent),
 - Taraclia District village of Cairaclia (CPRM),
 - Soroca District village of Stoicani (AMN),

- Village of Căinarii Vechi (Independent),
- Drochia District village of Sofia (SDP),
- Cahul District village of Larga (CPRM)
- 3 cases other motives, including, in one case, lack of human resources.

Persons who left for abroad are sometimes excluded from the lists, deceased and those with changed residence are present on the lists:

To monitor the electoral rolls verification process, IDOM observers tried to have a series of interviews with the following persons, present in the electoral rolls, by the groups: those who were born ahead of 1940, those who reached the age of 18, those who were registered at the same address with several other persons with a different name, at random, etc.

Persons who left for abroad

We found that in some localities, he persons who left for abroad are not included in the electoral rolls – such is the case of the villages:

- Dubăsari District village of Doroţcaia (CPRM)
- Dubăsari District village of Pîrîta (CPRM)
- Cahul District village of Larga (CPRM).

Or, there have been indications to exclude them:

- In the village of Albota de Jos (SDP) from Taraclia District, a CPRM candidate gave instructions to the local party staff to exclude those who are abroad from the electoral rolls. However, as the observer reports, such changes were not operated by the LPA.
- In another case of Copceac village (Independent) from the Găgăuz din Autonomy we have learned that the authorities of this region have initially ordered the exclusion of those who left for abroad from the electoral rolls, while later we were informed by the international observers that such a decision was annulled. However, our observers found that in the monitored locality those who left for abroad were excluded from the rolls, as the situation was reported on July 16, 2009.

The deceased persons on the lists

Taking into account the modest number of observers in the Moldovan capital (12), these have managed to find, on the rolls of 5 polling stations, ten deceased persons, including some who died 4-5 years ago, which might seem an insignificant number. This figure, however, will be treated in the context of the fact that IDOM observes interviewed only 30 persons who were born ahead of 1940 in the entire Chişinău City – birth ahead of 1940 being one of the interview selection criteria. Ten cases, out of 30 questioned, represent an extremely worrying figure.

We shall also mention that the information was collected by a relatively reduced number of observers, however from the perspective that such a number is sufficient to perform a qualitative analysis of the voters' rolls compilation and verification. The situation in rural areas is better on the matter of deceased persons on the lists. At the same time, taking into account the number of voters who live in the urban areas, we expected to find the most noteworthy cases specifically in urban areas, despite the fact that the LPA intensively worked to rectify the rolls, done on the basis of the April 5 data.

<u>Cases of persons with withdrawn registration who are still on the electoral lists in initial locations:</u>

We found that in nine polling stations out of 293 within the Chişinău City (excluding Moldovan Embassies, which are also registered as in Chişinău), there are 1854 persons with the withdraw residence (including graduates of the universities), unidentifiable persons, or wrong addresses, still on the electoral rolls.

The data analyses only of the processes of compilation and verification of the electoral rolls, based on the MID information, as well as the time pressure in the electoral process, and, respectively, on the observation effort, still makes us presume that the veracity of the electoral rolls is a disputable one, also indicating to the problems related to the MID databases. We observe, from the perspective of the analysis of the **process** of compilation and verification of the rolls that after the clean-up efforts by the LPAs, in the cases when even local registers were used to adjust the rolls done on the bases of MID information, the qualitative indicators noted by the IDOM observers, place under doubt the quality of the MID databases and respectively of the rolls for the early elections scheduled for the July 29, 2009.

The comparison between the figures regarding the number of the voters written down in the April 5 electoral lists and those written down on July 14, 2009, in the localities monitored by IDOM, denotes our worry, according to which the current lists are even bigger than those from the spring elections:

33 localities monitored by IDOM

April 5, 2009 ~660.677 voters

July 14, 2009 ~666.010 voters

According to our observations, in the localities where the compilation of the rolls was done "from zero" the number of voters written down on July 14, 2009, on the rolls was, usually, smaller than in localities where April 5 lists were reused.

As for the positive appreciations of the electoral rolls compilation and verification process, our observers reported that there have been no serious and multiple infringements in the compilation of the rolls in almost half out of the 33 monitored localities from the Republic of Moldova, where IDOM observers were active.

The third phase - the verification of rolls was not completely done according to the legal requirements and in due time:

Another important element of the electoral process is the opportunity of the voters to verify directly the rolls. We will mention in a positive light the initiative to use modern technologies, such as Internet, to simplify the procedure of verification, by the Chişinău Municipality authorities.

In this context we will mention, with satisfaction, that the advertisement was used regarding the necessity to verify the electoral rolls by the voters themselves. Observers from 21 localities of the Republic of Moldova have sent reports, which inform us that the CEC, as well as the local authorities, disseminated advertisement spots through TV,

Internet, and radio. The LPA themselves have informed the population about the citizens' right to verify the rolls, and in a case (Țarigrad village, Drochia district – CPRM) 200 booklets were spread for this purpose. We support the CEC initiative to send informative SMS regarding the citizens' right to verify the electoral rolls. However, we consider that people's educational process regarding this right should be propagated in a comprehensive way and it should be a sustainable one.

We have received data from nine localities that the population was not informed, while people from Chetrosu village (PD) Drochia district, Crihana Veche village (AMN) Cahul district and Copceac village (Independent) Găgăuz Autonomy were informed by the LPA about this right when they cam to visit LPAs with other problems.

In order to properly verify these rolls, however, it is necessary to display them, or, at least, to ensure their presence at the Polling Stations Bureaus (PSB). Thus, in over 60 PSBs from 19 localities these rolls were received in due time, set by the electoral calendar – July 14, 2009. At the same time, this did not happen in some polling stations, sometimes in the same localities where other polling stations have displayed the rolls. Thus, the arithmetic sum to obtain the number of 33 localities is not applicable. Similar cases when the PSBs did not receive the rolls were reported in 40 cases in 19 localities. In the majority of cases the reason was either that the PSB has not been established yet, or the rolls were received later. According to the electoral calendar there was as an overlapping, which affected the process of rolls reception – July 14 was the deadline for both the PSB establishment, as well as for the electoral rolls display.

Though the Electoral code stipulates the electoral rolls public display, the IDOM was informed that previously there were cases when these rolls were vandalized, this being an obstacle for their display in safe conditions – there are two copies of these rolls printed, the PSB having only one copy which later was to be used at the elections. Thus, we ascertain that in 23 localities, about 80 PSB did not display the rolls, the motives being:

- a. The rolls are at people's disposal in the PSB buildings without being displayed in the majority of cases
- b. The PSB late constitution
- c. Lack of place for their display
- d. The bureau is closed
- e. The rolls were received with a delay
- f. The rolls were not received
- g. Other reasons

Thus, the rolls were displayed before July 14 in eight localities and in nine localities – after July 14, the motive being the same as already mentioned above – the rolls were received with a delay from the CEC/LPAs.

Recommendations:

• It is necessary to strengthen the legal framework to ensure free and fair elections.

- The LPAs need trainings regarding the update of rolls not only in the electoral period, as only a continuously done update, long before the elections, will diminish the risk of incorrect lists emergence.
- The education of people regarding the subject of electoral rolls verification should continue with the participation of all interested actors – electoral, political and civil society authorities, and with the international community assistance.
 The last one has remarked itself very positively in the Republic of Moldova, an example to be followed by the other national actors.
- It is necessary to strengthen the LPAs capacities and to offer the required assistance to compile the electoral rolls in the future. In this way, it is not only the LPAs responsibility to compile the voters' rolls it is necessary to establish an efficient coordination and collaboration between the national, local authorities and electoral structures. The elections of the Republic of Moldova are a subject of national interest and of democratic standards implementation, which ensure the legitimacy both of the elections and of those elected alike.
- A group of observers and experts designated by the governing and opposition political formations, by the independent civil society should be instituted, next to CEC, to ensure the transparency and verification of the automation of the electoral process.
- Under usual circumstances, the electoral rolls should be placed for public display not less 20 days before the Election Day, in order to allow the verification of the accuracy of their compilation.
- Random verifications at the national level are necessary, to verify the respect of the legal provisions that stipulate that the public display of the electoral rolls is obligatory.
- It is necessary to bring to the successful end the implementation of the project regarding voters' electronic register. Central authorities should assume full responsibility for this process, so as the budgetary resources to be directed in a wise manner and, for example, not for the pompous reconstructions of the some buildings in times of economic crisis. General elections represent a national interest and have precedence ahead of other activities in the electoral period.

GENERAL STATISTICS REPORT

on the monitoring of compilation and verification of the electoral rolls in 33 localities of the Republic of Moldova (Phase I : June 27 – July 6, 2009)

- Two municipalities:

- 1. Chişinău
- 2. Bălți

Eight districts:

- 1. Soroca (Soroca town and the villages Voloviţa, Stoicani, Căinarii Vechi)
- 2. Ştefan Vodă (Ştefan Vodă town and the villages Popeasca, Antonești, Carahasani)
- 3. Nisporeni (Nisporeni town and the villages of Soltănești, Bălăurești, Bărboieni)
- 4. Drochia (Drochia town and the villages Chetrosu, Țarigrad, Sofia)
- 5. Cahul (Cahul town and the villages Pelinei, Crihana Veche, Larga)
- 6. Hînceşti (Hînceşti town and the villages Lăpuşna, Stolniceni, Minjir)
- 7. Taraclia (Taraclia town and the villages Albota de Jos, Cairaclia)
- 8. Dubăsari only the villages Coșnița, Doroțcaia, Pîrîta.

- As well as one village from the Găgăuz Autonomy (for comparison)

1. Copceac

 Describe the modality through which the majority of the LPAs have compiled voters rolls:
The lists of April 5 have not been used
Town of Soroca (civil status office, passport office, land register)
<u>Taraclia District:</u> <u>village of Albota de Jos</u>
<u>Dubăsari District:</u> village of Pîrîta

The compilation of the electoral rolls by the majority of the LPAs was based on the April 5 election lists, while later on they have used the supplementary lists for rectifications, as well as:

1 The information sent by CEC, which was, in fact, sent by the MID.

Chişinău Municipality

Taraclia District:

Town of Taraclia village of Cairaclia

Găgăuz Autonomy (for comparison)

village of Copceac

Dubăsari District:

village of Coșnița village of Doroţcaia

Hînceşti District:

Town of Hînceşti village of Minjir village of Lăpușna village of Stolniceni

Drochia District:

Town of Drochia village of Chetrosu village of Tarigrad village of Sofia

Cahul District:

Town of Cahul <u>village of Pelinei</u> village of Crihana Veche village of Larga

<u>Bălți Municipality</u>

Nisporeni District

Town of Nisporeni village of Soltănești, village of Bălăurești, <u>village of Bărboieni</u>

Soroca District – the lists of April 5 were not used in Soroca, only in the following villages:

village of Voloviţa (April 5 + household register - see pt.3) village of Stoicani (April 5 + household register – see pt.3) village of Căinarii Vechi (April 5 and 2+, 2-, as well as supplementary lists – were included in the basic one)

<u>Stefan Vodă District:</u>

<u>Town of Ştefan Vodă (April 5 + verification)</u> <u>village of Popeasca (April 5 and 2+, 2-)</u> <u>village of Antoneşti (April 5 + household register – see pt.3)</u> <u>village of Carahasani (April 5)</u>

2 Information from the civil status office (in towns)

Chişinău Municipality

Bălți Municipality

Taraclia District:

Town of Taraclia

village of Albota de Jos (certificates from the civil status office form the Mayoralty)

Town of Hînceşti

Town of Cahul

Town of Drochia

3. Information from the local household registers (in villages)

Taraclia District:

<u>village of Albota de Jos</u>

Dubăsari District:

village of Coşniţa

Hînceşti District:

village of Minjir village of Lăpușna village of Stolniceni

Drochia District:

village of Chetrosu village of Tarigrad village of Sofia

Cahul District:

<u>village of Pelinei</u> <u>village of Crihana Veche</u> <u>village of Larga</u>

Nisporeni District – villages:

village of Soltănești,

village of Bălăurești,

<u>village of Bărboieni</u>

<u> Ştefan Vodă District – villages:</u>

village of Voloviţa village of Stoicani village of Antonești

4 In some cases – conducted door-to-door visits or contacted the residents by phone

Chişinău Municipality:

- <u>City sector Centre (including by phone)</u>
- <u>City sector Buiucani (including by phone)</u>

Bălți Municipality

Taraclia District:

village of Cairaclia village of Albota de Jos

Găgăuz Autonomy:

village of Copceac

Soroca District:

<u>Town of Soroca</u> village of Volovita

Hînceşti District

<u>Town of Hînceşti</u> <u>village of Minjir</u>

Cahul District:

Town of Cahul

Nisporeni District:

<u>Town of Nisporeni</u> <u>village of Bălăurești</u>

<u>Stefan Vodă District:</u>

Town of Ştefan Vodă

Dubăsari District:

village of Doroțcaia

5 Passport office

Town of Cahul
6 Housing Record Office / SEL (house records)
Chişinău Municipality:
- <u>City sector Botanica</u>
- <u>City sector Ciocana</u>
<u>Găgăuz Autonomy</u>
village of Copceac
7 Courts
Chisinău Municipality:
- <u>City sector Centru</u>

Town of Cahul
8. Educational institutions
Chişinău Municipality:
- <u>Rîşcani City Sector</u>
Hînceşti District:
village of Stolniceni
<u>Town of Hînceşti</u>
Town of Cahul
O Describerant of Describerations heatifulting
9 Department of Penitentiary Institutions
Chişinău Municipality:
- <u>City sector Centru</u>
40.40.11. (D. (
10 Ministry of Defence
Chişinău Municipality:
- <u>City sector Centru</u>
11 When enoughing about Dubšeavi, first of all the negroup who have wated an April F 2000 was introduced
11. When speaking about Dubăsari, first of all the persons who have voted on April 5, 2009, were introduced in the lists (the information is taken from the April 5, 2009, lists with the signature of participants, and after
that the persons who voted on the supplementary lists are introduced).
2. Indicate if the lists for April 5 elections are used:
First Control of the

What was the modality used by the LPAs to compile the electoral rolls:

a. New lists were drawn - in three localities

Taraclia District:

<u>village of Albota de Jos</u>

Town of Soroca

Dubăsari District:

<u>village of Pîrîta</u>

b. Or the April 5 electoral lists were used – in 30 localities:

Chişinău Municipality

Taraclia District:

<u>Town of Taraclia</u> village of Cairaclia

<u>Găgăuz Autonomy:</u>

village of Copceac

Hînceşti District:

Town of Hînceşti village of Lăpuşna village of Stolniceni village of Minjir

Dubăsari District:

village of Coşniţa village of Doroţcaia

Drochia District:

Town of Drochia village of Chetrosu village of Tarigrad village of Sofia

Cahul District:

Town of Cahul village of Pelinei village of Crihana Veche village of Larga

Bălți Municipality

Nisporeni District:

Town of Nisporeni village of Soltănești, village of Bălăurești, village of Bărboieni

<u>Soroca District – villages:</u>

village of Voloviţa (plus local lists) village of Căinarii Vechi village of Stoicani

<u>Ştefan Vodă District:</u>

Town of Stefan Vodă village of Popeasca village of Carahasani Town of Stefan Vodă

3. What additional lists/registers did LPAs receive:

lists/registers			LPAs figures
a.	Persons who reached meanwhile?	18 y. o.	5418 persons (Chişii Municipality) 98 persons (Taraclia District Găgăuz Autonomy) — information from village of Alb de Jos is missing 250 persons (Hînceşti District) 43 persons (Dubăsari District) 257 persons (Drochia District) 368 persons (Cahul District) 1244 persons (Bălţi Municipality) 89 persons (r. Nisporeni) 41 persons (r. Soroca) Soroca District - Căinarii Ve village did not have si information Ştefan Vodă — do not have suffici statistical data (Antoneşti, Town Ştefan Vodă, Carahasani) 19 persons — Popeasca (Şt. Vodă)
			TOTAL – 7828 persons, howe these data are not complete, sind data from 6 localities is missing
b.	Persons who changed th (newcomers)?	e residence	7670 persons (Chişi ı Municipality) Taraclia District + Găg

	Autonomy — information is not complete — there is only info from the village of Cairaclia — 2 persons 231 persons (Hînceşti District) 33 persons (Dubăsari District) 194 persons (Drochia District) 395 persons (Cahul District) 1661 persons (Bălți Municipality) 101 persons (r. Nisporeni) 15 persons (Soroca) Soroca District - Căinarii Vechi village did not have such information
	Ştefan Vodă – do not have sufficient statistical data (Antonești, Town of Ștefan Vodă, Carahasani, Popeasca)
	TOTAL – 10302 persons, however these data are not complete, since data from 8 localities is missing
c. Persons who withdrew their residence?	Municipality) Taraclia District + Găgăuz Autonomy - information is not complete - there is only info from the village of Cairaclia - 4 persons 192 persons (Hînceşti District) 1 person or more (Dubăsari District) 111 persons (Drochia District) 1782 persons (Bălţi Municipality) 83 persons (r. Nisporeni) 19 persons (Soroca) Soroca District - Căinarii Vechi village did not have such information Ştefan Vodă - do not have sufficient statistical data (Antoneşti, Town of Ştefan Vodă, Carahasani, Popeasca) TOTAL - 10877 persons, however these data are not complete, since data from 10 localities is missing
d. Deceased persons?	2104 persons (Chişinău Municipality) 66 persons (Taraclia District +

Găgăuz Autonomy) the information from the *village* of Albota de Jos is missing 135 persons (Hînceşti District) 35 persons (Dubăsari District) 168 persons (Drochia District) 211 persons (Cahul District) 626 persons (Bălţi Municipality) 51 persons (r. Nisporeni) 27 persons (Soroca) Soroca District - Căinarii Vechi village did not have such information **Ştefan Vodă** – do not have sufficient statistical data (Antonești, Town of Ştefan Vodă, Carahasani) 17 persons – Popeasca (Şt.Vodă)

TOTAL – 3440 persons, , however these data are not complete, since data from 5 localities is missing

The lists for April 5 elections **are** used and then:

A. LPAs received additional data/registers from the CEC or MDI (2+; 2-)

<u>Bălți Municipality</u>

Taraclia District:

<u>Town of Taraclia</u> village of Cairaclia

Găgăuz Autonomy:

village of Copceac

Nisporeni District

Town of Nisporeni village of Soltănești village of Bălăurești village of Bărboieni

Soroca District - villages:

village of Volovița village of Căinarii Vechi

Ştefan Vodă District:

<u>Town of Ştefan Vodă</u> <u>village of Popeasca</u>

B. Received additional data form the CEC and used local lists/registers

(Information from the civil status office (in towns)
Information from the local household registers (in villages))

Chişinău Municipality

Dubăsari District:

village of Doroţcaia village of Coşniţa

Hînceşti District:

Town of Hînceşti village of Minjir village of Lăpuşna village of Stolniceni

Drochia District:

Town of Drochia village of Chetrosu village of Tarigrad village of Sofia

Cahul District:

Town of Cahul village of Pelinei village of Crihana Veche village of Larga

<u>Bălți Municipality</u>

Nisporeni District

village of Soltănești, village of Bălăurești, village of Bărboieni

<u>Stefan Vodă District:</u>

village of Stoicani village of Antoneşti village of Carahasani

C. CEC information was not used, only local registers:

Town of Soroca

Dubăsari District:

village of Pîrîta

4. Did the LPAs have instructions or recommendations to conduct door-to-door visits? If yes – from whom?

A. In 18 localities out of 33, LPAs received recommendations from the CEC to conduct door-to-door visits (including as a result of the training organised by the CEC):

Chişinău Municipality:

- <u>City sector Botanica</u>
- City sector Buiucani
- City sector Centru

Taraclia District:

<u>village of Albota de Jos</u>

Dubăsari District:

village of Doroțcaia

Hînceşti District:

Town of Hînceşti

Drochia District:

Town of Drochia village of Chetrosu village of Tarigrad village of Sofia

Cahul District:

Town of Cahul village of Pelinei village of Crihana Veche village of Larga

Bălți Municipality

Nisporeni District

<u>Town of Nisporeni</u> <u>village of Soltănești</u> <u>village of Bărboieni</u>

<u>Ştefan Vodă District</u>

<u>village of Antoneşti</u> <u>Town of Ştefan Vodă (+ from the Mayor)</u>

B. While in 14 out of 33 cases, LPAs did not receive recommendations or any instructions (because, most probably, they did not assist at the training organised by the CEC)

Chişinău Municipality:
- <u>City sector Ciocana</u> (as city sector administration claims)
- City sector Rîşcani (as city sector administration claims – Vice-Pretor)
<u></u>
<u>Taraclia District:</u>
<u>Town of Taraclia</u>
village of Cairaclia
<u>Găqăuz Autonomy:</u>
village of Copceac
<u>Dubăsari District:</u>
<u>village of Pîrîta</u>
<u>village of Coşniţa</u>
<u>Hînceşti District:</u>
<u>village of Lăpuşna</u>
<u>village of Stolniceni</u>
village of Minjir
Nisporeni District – 1 village:
<u>village of Bălăurești</u>
Soroca District – villages:
<u>village of Volovița</u>
<u>village of Căinarii Vechi</u>
<u>village of Stoicani (the Mayor knows the village)</u>
<u>Ştefan Vodă District – villages:</u>
<u>village of Popeasca</u>
<u>village of Carahasani</u>
C. In one case out of 33 the LPA did not receive recommendations from the CEC to go door-to-door, while
they received it from the LPA head:
<u>Town of Soroca</u>

- 5. Did LPAs conduct door-to-door visits on their own initiative?
- A. Yes, LPAs conducted door-to-door visits, however not on their own initiative (upon CEC instructions) in nine cases (the number of cases is nine seven localities)

1. suspicious cases (unspecified):
<u>Cahul District:</u> Town of Cahul (the cases they considered suspicious – to verify CEC/MID data)
Bălți Municipality
<u>Nisporeni District</u> <u>village of Bălăurești (in cases when LPA did not know ID number)</u>
<u>Town of Stefan Vodă</u> <u>village of Bălăurești (in cases when LPA did not know ID number)</u>
2. all the cases
Chişinău Municipality: - City sector Buiucani - City sector Centru
Town of Nisporeni
Taraclia District: village of Albota de Jos
B. Yes, LPAs conducted door-to-door visits on their own initiative, in seven cases:
1. suspicious cases (unspecified):
<u>Taraclia District:</u> village of Cairaclia
<u>Găqăuz Autonomy:</u> village of Copceac (in case of persons who left for abroad)
<u>Hînceşti District:</u> Town of Hînceşti
Soroca District: village of Voloviţa (specified – they were not sure about the residence registration of three persons – they also called over the phone)
2. to verify who requires the mobile booth: <u>Dubăsari District:</u> <u>village of Doroţcaia</u>
3. They have verified with the help of the household registers:
Hînceşti District:

village of Minjir
Town of Soroca
C. No. I DAs did not conduct door to door visits in 24 coors.
C. No, LPAs did not conduct door-to-door visits, in 24 cases:
1. did not go (without a motive):
<u>Chişinău Municipality:</u> - <u>City sector Ciocana</u>
Dubăsari District:
village of Coșnița
village of Pîrîta
Cahul District:
village of Crihana Veche (only upon IDOM observers request several addresses were visited with the observer)
2 it was not necessary or not efficient:
<u>Chişinău Municipality:</u> - <u>City sector Rîşcani</u>
- <u>City sector Botanica</u>
Hînceşti District:
<u>village of Lăpuşna</u>
<u>village of Stolniceni</u>
Soroca District – villages:
village of Căinarii Vechi (everybody knows each other and there are local registers) village of Stoicani (everybody knows each other and there are local registers)
vinuge of Stoicum (everybody knows each other and there are local registers)
<u>Stefan Vodă District – 1 village:</u>
village of Carahasani the LPA knows the village)
3. Insufficient human/financial resources, lack of time
Chişinău Municipality:
- <u>City sector Rîşcani (see pt.2 – not efficient)</u>
- <u>City sector Botanica (see pt.2 – not efficient)</u>
<u>Cahul District</u>
village of Pelinei
<u>village of Larga</u>
Drochia District:
Town of Drochia village of Chetrosu
village of Tarigrad
<u>village of Sofia</u>

Stefan Vodă District

<u>village of Popeasca (however, they have used household registers)</u> <u>village of Antoneşti (however, they have called)</u>

4. It was considered pointless, because this has been done for the April 5 elections

Taraclia District:

Town of Taraclia

Nisporeni District - villages:

village of Soltănești village of Bărboieni

6. How many persons were involved in the electoral rolls verification, how and which position they have?

In the verification of the electoral rolls were involved:

Chişinău Municipality:

- City sector Botanica 10 persons (unspecified).
- <u>City sector Ciocana 2 persons (main specialist, someone from the public administration section).</u>
- City sector Buiucani 28 persons (various hierarchical ranks).
- <u>City sector Centru 31 persons</u> (various hierarchical ranks). Among them, additional persons were contracted during the electoral period.
- City sector Rîşcani 2 persons (secretary, coordinator-specialist), plus volunteers, students.

Taraclia District:

<u>Town of Taraclia – 3 persons</u> (secretary of the Mayoralty, PR specialist, Mayoralty accountant)

village of Albota de Jos – 6 persons:

- 2 from the Mayoralty (secretary and accountant) for rolls compilation
 - <u>1 kindergarten educator, 1 kindergarten Director, 1 village librarian and 1</u>

<u>social</u>

<u>assistant – door-to-door verification. The last four worked pro-bono.</u>

village of Cairaclia – 3 persons (Mayoralty secretary, fiscal inspector, land register)

Găgăuz Autonomy:

village of Copceac, Găgăuz Autonomy – 2 persons (their hierarchic rank is not specified)

Hînceşti District

Town of Hînceşti - 2 persons

- 1 PR specialist
- 1 tax collector

Com. Lăpușna – 2 persons

- 1 secretary
- 1 secretary typist

village of Stolniceni - 1 person

- 1 land register engineer - Munteanu Gheorghe

vil. Minjir - 3 persons

- <u>secretary of the council Matei Gurita</u>
- <u>secretary registrar Zinaida Varanita</u>
- <u>library director Zinaida Ghitanu</u>

Dubăsari District:

village of Pîrîta - the entire LPA staff

Com. Coșnița - 3 persons

- <u>secretary</u>
- 2 social assistants

village of Doroţcaia - 9 persons

- 1 <u>Mayor Iesco Andrei</u>
- 1 <u>secretary Diordiev Nina</u>
- 1 typist Zavtoniev Maria
- 1 tax collector
- 1 <u>accountant</u>
- 4 LPA clerks

Cahul District

Town of Cahul - 4 persons

- Mayor
- 1 Mayoralty secretary
- 1 specialist in secretarial work
- -1 Head of management group from the municipality

village of Pelinei – 2 persons

- Mayor
- Mayoralty secretary

village of Larga – 1 person

- secretary

<u>village of Crihana Veche – 6 persons</u>

- Mayor
- secretary
- tax collector
- land register engineer
- accountant
- social assistant

Drochia District:

Town of Drochia – 1 person

secretary

village of Chetrosu - 3 persons

- secretary
- Deputy Mayor
- secretary registrar

village of Tarigrad - 3 persons

- secretary
- secretary-typist
- accountant

village of Sofia – 4 persons

- secretary of the Council
- 1 accountant
- 1 engineer to manage the financial status
- 1 tax collector

<u>Bălți Municipality – 2 persons</u>

- 2 main specialists

Nisporeni District

Town of Nisporeni – 11 persons:

- 2 Deputy Mayors
- 1 Project drafter
- 3 accountants
- 1 specialist in recruitment issues
- 1 electrician
- 1 PR specialist
- 1 social assistant
- 1 director of a centre

village of Bălăurești - 2 persons

- 1 secretary
- 1 librarian

village of Soltănești - 2 persons

- 1 Mayor
- 1 secretary

village of Bărboieni – 3 persons

- -1 Mayor
- 1 secretary
- 1 duty worker

Soroca District:

<u>Town of Soroca – 7 persons – 3 employees of the communal services company</u>

- 2 persons from the Water-Channel Company (water supply-sewage)
- 1 person Architect
- 1 person Mayor

<u>village of Voloviţa – 3 persons</u> – secretary

- Mayor
- social worker

<u>village of Căinarii Vechi – 1 person</u> - secretary

village of Stoicani - 2 persons - secretary

- Mayor

Stefan Vodă District

<u>Town of Stefan Vodă – 39 persons</u> – employees of the municipal enterprises, educational and pre-educational institutions

<u>village of Popeasca – 2 persons</u> – Secretary

- Archive worker

<u>village of Antonești – 1 person</u> – secretary

<u>village of Carahasani – 2 persons</u> – secretary

- Typist

7. Did LPAs have enough human resources to compile electoral rolls?

In 22 cases LPAs confirm that that they DID have sufficient resources to compile the lists

Chişinău Municipality:

City sector Ciocana

Taraclia District:

village of Cairaclia village of Albota de Jos

<u>Găgăuz Autonomy:</u>

village of Copceac

Hînceşti District:

Town of Hînceşti village of Lăpuşna village of Minjir village of Stolniceni

Dubăsari District:

village of Coșnița village of Pîrîta village of Doroțcaia

Cahul District:

<u>Town of Cahul</u> village of Crihana Veche

Drochia District:

village of Tarigrad

<u>Bălți Municipality</u> (two persons, however they adjust the lists during the year)

Nisporeni District - 1 village:

village of Bărboieni

Soroca District – all four:

- Town of Soroca
- village of Voloviţa (+ little bit of time constraint)
- village of Căinarii Vechi (even with one person)
- village of Stoicani

<u>Ştefan Vodă District</u>

- Town of Stefan Vodă/yes - however, did not manage the door-to-door verification at all domiciles - village of Carahasani /yes

In 12 cases LPAs asserts that they DID NOT have sufficient resources to compile the lists

Chişinău Municipality:

- <u>City sector Buiucani</u>
- <u>City sector Rîşcani</u>
- <u>City sector Centru</u>
- City sector Botanica

Taraclia District:

Town of Taraclia

Cahul District:

village of Larga village of Pelinei

Drochia District (according to observers):

<u>Town of Drochia</u> <u>village of Chetrosu</u> <u>village of Sofia</u>

Nisporeni District:

<u>Town of Nisporeni</u> <u>village of Soltănești</u> <u>village of Bălăurești</u>

Stefan Vodă District

- village of Popeasca /no
- village of Antonesti/did not have

8. Were the CEC recommendations useful for the voters' rolls compilation by the LPAs? What do the LPAs think about the quality of the compiled electoral rolls (are they precise or not)?

1. There were no recommendations, the lists are of quality Chişinău Municipality: Ci<u>ty sector Rîşcani</u> Taraclia District: village of Cairaclia Hînceşti District: village of Minjir village of Stolniceni 2. They have been useful, the lists are of quality or partially qualitative: Chişinău Municipality: <u>City sector Botanica (verifies according to the citizen's ID number – accuracy 1,77%)</u> City sector Centru – recommendations are useful, however, inapplicable because of lack of time and human resources Taraclia District: village of Albota de Jos Hînceşti District: Town of Hînceşti village of Lăpușna **Dubăsari District:** village of Coșnița <u>village of Pîrîta</u> village of Doroţcaia **Cahul District:** Town of Cahul **Drochia District:** Town of Drochia village of Chetrosu

<u>village of Sofia (worth mentioning – in the lists of April 5 there were 2009 persons, while in the present ones - 2088)</u>

village of Tarigrad

Bălți Municipality:

- There are two contradictory information the LPA person in charge states that the recommendations are of quality, whereas the Head of the Electoral Constituency Commission states that the lists contain names of people who dies 4-7 years ago
- In the electoral rolls of April 5 there are 105.441 persons, while from those of July 7 105.830 persons

Nisporeni District:

<u>village of Bărboieni</u> <u>village of Soltănești</u> <u>village of Bălăurești</u>

Soroca District:

village of Stoicani

<u> Ştefan Vodă District:</u>

Town of Ştefan Vodă

village of Antonești (partially – human resources)

village of Carahasani (partially – time)

3. The CEC recommendations were not useful or were insufficiently useful

Chişinău Municipality:

- <u>City sector Ciocana</u>

Cahul District (imprecise):

village of Pelinei village of Larga

Nisporeni District:

Town of Nisporeni (the received info is not complete)

- In the April 5 lists 21 persons were found

<u>Ştefan Vodă District:</u>

<u>village of Popeasca (CEC recommendations: to consult CEC website – usefulness 50/50; LPA relied on the local household register)</u>

4. There was no recommendation, the lists are partially qualitative

Chişinău Municipality:

City sector Buiucani

Taraclia District:

Town of Taraclia

Soroca District:

<u>village of Volovița (partially – taking into account the conditions under which the lists were compiled)</u>

5. Could not make a statement regarding the quality of lists

Cahul District:

village of Crihana Veche (because the info has net been structured by the LPA yet)

6. No data were reported

Soroca District:

Town of Soroca

9. What kind of problems you encountered in the process of rolls compilation and verification?

I Observers

 Public servants generally impeded the access to the lists (without motive or because of lack of time – however, finally ensured the access to the lists):

II LPA

Resource Insufficiency (human, financial, IT and/or IT knowledge)

Hînceşti District:

<u>Town of Hînceşti (first days, before CEC</u> accreditation)

Chişinău Municipality:

- <u>City sector Buiucani</u>
- <u>City sector Rîşcani</u>
- City sector Centru

Dubăsari District:

village of Coşniţa village of Pîrîta

Taraclia District:

<u>Town of Taraclia</u> <u>village of Cairaclia</u>

village of Albota de Jos (has no PC abilities)

<u>Bălți Municipality (until July 3, when the observers showed their CEC accreditation)</u>

<u>Dubăsari District:</u>

village of Coşniţa (has no PC abilities)

Cahul District:

<u>Town of Cahul (first days, before CEC accreditation)</u>

Drochia District:

<u>Town of Drochia (human resources)</u> <u>village of Chetrosu (human resources, no PC abilities)</u> <u>village of Sofia (human resources)</u>

Drochia District:

<u>village of Sofia (first days, before CEC accreditation)</u>

Cahul District:

village of Larga village of Pelinei village of Crihana Veche

2. Public servants avoided to compile the rolls in our (observers) presence:

<u>Bălți Municipality</u> (time and funds insufficiency)

Dubăsari District:

village of Coşniţa village of Pîrîta

Nisporeni District:

Town of Nisporeni village of Bărboieni village of Soltănești village of Bălăurești

3. No problems encountered, free access:

Ştefan Vodă District:

<u>village of Antonești (see pt.2, 6)</u> Town of Ștefan Vodă (funds – SEE pt.9)

2. Quality of the MID lists

Chişinău Municipality:

City sector Centru

Taraclia District:

village of Albota de Jos

Chişinău Municipality:

- City sector Buiucani
- <u>City sector Centru</u>

<u>Dubăsari District:</u>

village of Doroţcaia

Drochia District:

village of Tarigrad village of Chetrosu

Drochia District:

Town of Drochia

Cahul District:

village of Larga

village of Chetrosu village of Tarigrad

Cahul District:

<u>village of Pelinei</u> <u>village of Crihana Veche</u> village of Larga

Nisporeni District:

Town of Nisporeni village of Bărboieni village of Soltănești village of Bălăurești

Soroca District:

village of Volovița village of Căinarii Vechi

Ştefan Vodă District

village of Carahasani

4. Did not desire to cooperate (and there was no access until the end of observation):

n/a

5. Observers did not have access to the registers of deceased persons, of those who reached 18 y. o., residence registration (without motive or because of lack of time, lack of observer accreditation):

Chişinău Municipality:

- <u>City sector Botanica</u>
- <u>City sector Buiucani</u>
- City sector Rîşcani

<u>Hînceşti District:</u>

Town of Hînceşti

6. Observers did not have access to the April 5, 2009, rolls – however, they had access to the rests of the registers and lists:

Hînceşti District:

village of Stolniceni

<u>village of Pelin</u>ei

Nisporeni District:

<u>Town of Nisporeni</u> <u>village of Bălăurești</u>

Ştefan Vodă District:

village of Antonesti (deceased SEE pt.1,6)

3. MID database quality

Chişinău Municipality:

- City sector Buiucani
- City sector Centru
 - 4. Lack of ID cards

Nisporeni District:

village of Bărboieni village of Soltănești village of Bălăurești

5. Lack of address

Bălți Municipality

Nisporeni District:

<u>Town of Nisporeni</u> <u>village of Bărboieni</u> <u>village of Soltănești</u>

6. Residence registration change procedure

Chişinău Municipality:

- <u>Sector Buiucani (including students)</u>

Dubăsari District:

<u>village of Doroţcaia</u>

Cahul District:

<u>Town of Cahul</u> <u>village of Crihana Veche</u>

Drochia District:

<u>Town of Drochia</u> <u>village of Tarigrad</u> <u>village of Sofia (students)</u>

<u>Bălți Municipality</u>

Soroca District:

<u>Town of Soroca (SEE pt. 9, 10)</u> <u>village of Stoicani</u>

Ştefan Vodă District:

village of Antonești (SEE pt.1, 2) village of Popeasca

7. Many apartments are rented

Chişinău Municipality:

- <u>City sector Buiucani</u>
 - 8. Holliday houses problem (residence registration is missing in cases of persons who live there long-term)

Chişinău Municipality:

- City sector Rîşcani
 - 9. Citizens reticence to discuss with LPAs staff and offer truthful information

Chişinău Municipality:

- <u>City sector Buiucani</u>

Drochia District:

Town of Drochia

Soroca District:

Town of Soroca (SEE pt. 6, 10)

<u>Ştefan Vodă District:</u>

Town of Ştefan Vodă (SEE pt.1)

10. Citizens' mass migration

Chişinău Municipality:

- City sector Buiucani

Cahul District:

village of Crihana Veche

Bălți Municipality

Soroca District:

Town of Soroca (SEE pt. 6, 9)

11. The difficulty to find psychologically ill persons and of those declared unable

Chişinău Municipality: City sector Buiucani 12. Late reception of the information from the MID: Taraclia District: village of Albota de Jos (received on July 2, while on July 3 they had no electricity) 10. Other comments made by the observer: 1. None: Hînceşti District: village of Stolniceni village of Lăpușna **Dubăsari District:** village of Coșnița 2. The ID numbers were missing from the rolls sent to CEC: Taraclia District: village of Albota de Jos Hînceşti District: village of Minjir **Cahul District:** village of Crihana Veche Soroca District: village of Voloviţa (49 persons) <u>Stefan Vodă District:</u> village of Antonești 3. The persons who left for abroad are excluded from the rolls, if it is known that they do not come back: <u>Găgăuz Autonomy:</u> village of Copceac Taraclia District: village of Albota de Jos

Hînceşti District:

village of Minjir

Dubăsari District:

village of Pîrîta

village of Doroţcaia (by Mayor's orders)

4. LPA staff was stressed while compiling the rolls, and did not have time for observers to explain them the entire electoral process:

Hînceşti District:

Town of Hîncești

5. The rolls have NOT been sent on July 6, 2009, because (they did not know how to send them, incl. did not know Word-Excel, Internet, etc):

Chişinău Municipality:

- <u>City sector Centru (partially on July 6, for some polling stations, and more lists were to be sent on July 7 – because the LPA needed information form the Ministry of Defence, Department of Penitentiary Institutions, etc)</u>

Taraclia District:

<u>Town of Taraclia</u>

village of Cairaclia

Dubăsari District:

<u>village of Dorotcaia (they did not known how to do it)</u> <u>village of Cosnita</u>

Cahul District:

<u>village of Pelinei</u>

Soroca District:

village of Căinarii Vechi (SEE pt.7) village of Stoicani (will be sent on July 7)

Stefan Vodă District:

<u>Town of Ştefan Vodă (the telegraphist got ill)</u> village of Antonești (do not know Excel, prepared in Word)

6. Persons who reached the age of 18 are not included in the rolls, although they domicile within the boundary of the LPA:

Insignificant (very few)

7. LPA did not know that the rolls APL should have been sent to CEC until July 6, 2009:

Dubăsari District:

village of Coşniţa

Soroca District:

village of Căinarii Vechi (SEE pt.5)

8. The lists were sent in due time – on July 6, 2009:

Chişinău Municipality:

- <u>City sector Rîşcani</u>
- City sector Buiucani
- City sector Botanica

Drochia District:

Town of Drochia village of Chetrosu village of Tarigrad village of Sofia

Cahul District:

Town of Cahul
village of Crihana Veche
village of Larga (on July 3, 2009)

Taraclia District:

village of Albota de Jos

<u>Găgăuz Autonomy:</u>

village of Copceac

Bălți Municipality

Nisporeni District:

village of Bărboieni (July 2)
Town of Nisporeni (July 3)
village of Bălăurești (July 6)
village of Soltănești (July 6)

Soroca District:

<u>Town of Soroca</u> <u>village of Volovița</u>

<u>Ştefan Vodă District:</u>

<u>village of Popeasca</u> <u>village of Antoneşti (**in WORD**)</u> <u>village of Carahasani</u>

Hînceşti District:

<u>Town of Hînceşti</u> <u>village of Minjir</u> <u>village of Lăpuşna</u>

<u>village of Stolniceni</u>

9. The rolls have already been publicly displayed:

Ştefan Vodă District:

village of Antonești (on July 4, 2009)

10. Data that should be additionally completed:

Chişinău Municipality:

City sector Ciocana

Dubăsari District:

village of Pîrîta

GENERAL STATISTICS REPORT

regarding the implementation of the 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} phase of the project on monitoring of the compilation and verification of the electoral rolls for the 29 July 2009 early elections

(The monitoring period July 6 - 16, 2009)

The monitored localities and the political affiliation

A. Localities:

Chişinău Municipality (LP)

Polling stations no. 22, 29, 39, 73, 76, 110, 120, 129, 132, 173, 174, 175, 202, 212, 217, and 221.

Bălți Municipality (CPRM)

Polling stations no. 3 - 14, 15 - 30, 37, 41, 42, 44, 45, 47, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, and 57.

Stefan Vodă district:

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM) Carahasani village (Independent) Popeasca village (Independent) Antonești village (Independent)

Hînceşti district:

Hîncești town (DP) Lăpușna village (LDPM) Stolniceni village (LDPM) Minjir village (CPRM)

Dubăsari district:

Coșnita village (CPRM) Doroțcaia village (CPRM) Pîrîta village (CPRM)

Cahul district:

Cahul town (CPRM)
Pelinei village (Independent)
Larga village (CPRM)
Crihana Veche village (OMA)

Drochia district:

Drochia town (OMA) Sofia village (SDP) Țarigrad village (CPRM) Chetrosu village (DP)

Soroca district:

Soroca town (NLP) (correction - LDPM) Volovița village (CPRM) Stoicani village (OMA) Căinarii Vechi village (Independent)

NISPORENI district:

Nisporeni town (LP), polling stations no. 23/1 and no. 23/3 Bălăurești village (Independent) polling station no. 9 Bărboieni village (Independent) polling station no. 23/10 Soltănești village (CPRM) polling station no. 35

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina), polling stations no. 1 and 2 Cairaclia village (CPRM), polling station no. 16 Albota de Jos village (SDP), polling station no. 7

Găgăuz Autonomy:

Copceac village (Independent) (for comparison), polling station no. 49

B. <u>LOCAL PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIONS (LPAs) – BASED ON POLITICAL</u> AFFILIATION CRITERION:

1. Independent - 8 localities

2. Patria-Rodina – 1 locality
3. CPRM – 12 localities
4. LP – 2 localities
5. OMA – 3 localities

6. LDPM - 2 localities (correction - three)
 7. NLP - 1 locality (correction - none)

8. DP - 2 localities9. SDP - 2 localities

A. THE SYNTHESIS OF THE COLLECTED DATA

Part I. LPA data

- 1. Have the local public administrations additionally verified the rolls during the period July 6-14? In how many and how did they do it?
 - A. Yes, the rolls have been additionally verified during the period July 6-14:

Chisinău Municipality (LP):

a. **city sector Centru** (they have verified according to the technique 2+; 2-; they have examined the citizens' requests received by phone, e-mail or immediate requests, the MID online database)

- b. **city sector Botanica** (they have compared the rolls with those of the Housing Records Office, but they did not modify them as they do it upon person's request)
- c. **city sector Râșcani** (they have verified according to the technique 2+; 2-; expunging the military staff/ border guards who were relieved from the military service, students who have already graduated, the persons who have reached 18 y. o. Were introduced and those who could not find themselves in the rolls)
- d. **city sector Buiucani** (the same manner of working as before July 6, that is, calling persons through the phone and making door-to-door visits)
- e. **city sector Ciocana** (through the MID database and citizens' complaints)

Bălți Municipality (CPRM):

- a. They have verified the persons from the April 5 supplementary rolls and the introduced them in the main rolls.
- b. They have verified the rolls from the psycho-neurologic boarding house and have excluded heavily handicapped persons,
- c. They have included students who study in other localities and whose residence registration has expired,
- d. They included persons who have presented residence declaration
- e. The rolls have been additionally verified by using the data received from the MID, citizens' requests

Stefan Vodă district:

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM) (they have verified according to the technique 2+; 2-,

exclusively *based on the local registers*)

Popeasca village (Independent) (they have made door-to-door visits)

Dubăsari district:

Coșnita village (CPRM) (they have excluded the deceased persons)

Pîrîta village (CPRM) *(they have verified according to the technique 2+; 2-,*

exclusively based on the local registers)

Hîncesti district:

Hînceşti town (DP) (to correct some mistakes)

Lăpușna village (LDPM) *(they have introduced the ID series and number)*

Stolniceni village (LDPM) (they have verified the persons who have discontinued their

residence registration or the new-comers and the deceased

ones data based on the local registers)

Drochia district:

Drochia town (OMA) *(they have verified according to the technique 2+; 2-, based on*

the local registers and the information given by the citizens)

Chetrosu village (DP) *(based on the persons'* requests and the information received

from the CEC on July 7, 2009)

Tarigrad village (CPRM) (based on the persons' requests and the information received

from the CEC on July 7, 2009)

Cahul district:

Cahul town (CPRM) (they have verified according to the technique 2+; 2- and

based on the persons' requests)

Crihana Veche village (OMA) (only the deceased persons were excluded – 1 person)

Soroca district:

Soroca town (NLP) (correction - LDPM)

(by the Mayoralty clerks in charge of the process)

Voloviţa village (CPRM) (based on the local registers and further on the CEC data)

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) (on the citizens' intimation, the authorities have operated

the modifications in the electoral rolls; thus 6 persons who died within the period July 6-12 were excluded from the

rolls)

Albota de Jos village (SDP) (door-to-door visits; amendments done upon observer's

request)

B. Yes, after the delivery of the verified rolls from the CEC

Drochia district:

Țarigrad village (CPRM)(small changes, according to information from

CEC)

C. No the lists, were not additionally verified, in the period July 6-14. The motive:

Stefan Vodă district:

Antonești village (Independent) (the rolls are precise)
Carahasani village (Independent) (the rolls are precise)

Dubăsari district:

Doroţcaia village (CPRM) (the rolls are precise)

Hîncesti district:

Minjir village (CPRM) (the rolls are precise)

Soroca district:

Stoicani village (OMA) (unspecified) Căinarii Vechi village (Independent) (unspecified)

Drochia district:

Sofia village (SDP) (PSB 37 and PSB 38) (unspecified)

Cahul district:

Larga village (CPRM) (unspecified)

NISPORENI district:

Nisporeni town (LP) *(motive: the city council ad-interim secretary was*

away during the period July 6-12)

Soltănești village (CPRM) (lack of human resources; there is no need to, as the

verification was performed for April 5)

Bărboieni village (Independent) (there is no need to, as the verification was performed

for April 5)

Bălăurești village (Independent) (unspecified)

TARACLIA district:

Cairaclia village (CPRM) (unspecified)

Găgăuz Autonomy:

Copceac village (Independent) (the LPA says that it has verified the persons who went

abroad, but the interviewed persons affirm that they

have been not been contacted)

Part II. Information about the interviewed persons

1. The number of dwellings visited/ phoned up:

Chişinău Municipality (LP) - 16 polling stations (626 s)

- 1. Polling station no. 120, nr 129
 - i. 1 observer 15 addresses
- 2. Polling station no. 110, no. 120
 - i. 1 observer 20 addresses
- 3. Polling stations no. 132, no. 120
 - i. 1 observer 20 addresses
- 4. Polling stations no. 22, no. 29
 - i. 1 observer 20 addresses
- 5. Polling stations no. 22, no. 39
 - i. 1 observer 23 addresses

- 6. Polling stations no. 221, no. 217
 - i. 1 observer 40 addresses
- 7. Polling stations no. 212, no. 217
 - i. 1 observer 35 addresses
- 8. Polling stations no. 202, no. 217
 - i. 1 observer 33 addresses
- 9. Polling station s no. 73, no. 76
 - i. 2 observers- 120 addresses (door-to-door visits or phoned up)
- 10. Polling stations no. 173, no. 174, nr 175
 - i. 2 observers- 300 addresses

<u>Bălți Municipality (CPRM) - 39 polling stations (718 addresses)</u>

- 1. Polling stations no. 15, no. 16
 - i. 1 observer 90 addresses
- 2. Polling station no. 37
 - i. 1 observer 18 addresses
- 3. Polling station no. 41
 - i. 1 observer 10 addresses
- 4. Polling station no. 42
 - i. 1 observer 5 addresses
 - ii. 2nd observer 3 addresses
 - iii. 3rd observer 8 addresses
- 5. Polling station no. 44
 - i. 1 observer 15 addresses
- 6. Polling stations no. 45, no. 47, no. 51, no. 52, no. 53, no. 54, no. 55, no. 56, no. 57
 - i. 1 observer 142 addresses
 - ii. 2nd observer 100 addresses

- 7. Polling stations no. 15, no. 16, no. 18, no.19, no.20, no. 21, no. 22, no. 23, no. 24, no. 25, no. 26, no. 27, no. 28, no. 29, no. 30
 - i. 1 observer 168 addresses
- 8. Polling stations no. 3, no. 4, no. 5, no. 6, no. 7, no. 8, no. 9, no. 10, no. 11, no. 12, no. 13, no. 14
 - i. 1 observer 120 addresses
 - ii. 2nd observer 39 addresses

Stefan Vodă district: total - 74 addresses

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM)	1 observer – 20 addresses
	2 observer – 15 addresses
Antonești village (Independent)	1 observer – 11 addresses
Carahasani village (Independent)	1 observer – 18 addresses
Popeasca village (Independent)	1 observer – 10 addresses

Dubăsari district: total - 54 addresses

Coșnita village (CPRM)	1 observer – 10 addresses
	2 observer – 10 addresses
Doroţcaia village (CPRM)	1 observer – 10 addresses
	2 observer – 13 addresses
Pîrîta village (CPRM)	1 observer – 11 addresses

Hîncesti district: total - 102 addresses

Hîncești town (DP)	1 observer – 25 addresses
	2 observer – 9 addresses
Lăpușna village (LDPM)	1 observer – 25 addresses
Stolniceni village (LDPM)	1 observer – 20 addresses
Minjir village (CPRM)	1 observer – 23 addresses

Drochia district: total - 78 addresses

Drochia town (OMA)	1 observer – 10 addresses
	2 observer – 11 addresses
Sofia village (SDP)	1 observer – 11 addresses
Ţarigrad village (CPRM)	1 observer – 28 addresses
Chetrosu village (DP)	1 observer – 18 addresses

Cahul district: total - 126 addresses

Cahul town (CPRM) 1 observer - 76 addresses

2 observer – 12 addresses

Pelinei village (Independent) 1 observer – 10 addresses Larga village (CPRM) 1 observer – 16 addresses Crihana Veche village (OMA) 1 observer – 12 addresses

Soroca district: total - 109 addresses

Soroca town (NLP) (correction - LDPM) 1 Observer – 13 addresses

2 observer - 13 addresses

Voloviţa village (CPRM) 1 observers – 17 addresses Căinarii Vechi village (Independent) 1 observer – 29 addresses Stoicani village (OMA) 1 observer – 37 addresses

NISPORENI district (TOTAL - 262):

Nisporeni town (LP) 1 observer – 12 questionnaires (118 accessed out of

193 visited/phoned up addresses)

2 observer - 12 questionnaires (phoned up, the

citizens' reticence when being visited)

Bărboieni village (Independent) 1 observer – 12 addresses (out of 25 visited/phoned

up)

Bălăurești village (Independent) 1 observer - 71 addresses (out of 107

visited/phoned up)

TARACLIA district (TOTAL - 97):

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) 1 observer – 50 addresses (out of 54 visited/phoned

up)

2 observer – 20 addresses (out of 20 visited/phoned

up)

Cairaclia village (CPRM) 1 observer – 10 addresses (out of 10 visited/phoned

up)

Albota de Jos village (SDP) 1 observer – 13 addresses (13 visited/phoned up)

Găgăuz Autonomy (TOTAL - 12):

Copceac village (Independent) 1 observer – 12 addresses

2. The number of interviewed persons (including those phoned up):

<u>Chişinău Municipality (LP)</u> – 16 polling stations (<u>406 interviewed persons</u>; <u>140 questionnaires</u>)

- 1. Polling stations no. 120, nr 129
 - i. 1 observer 30 persons (10 questionnaires)
- 2. Polling stations no. 110, no. 120
 - i. 1 observer 28 persons (9questionnaires)
- 3. Polling stations no. 132, no. 120
 - i. 1 observer 100 persons (15 questionnaires)
- 4. Polling stations no. 22, no. 29
 - i. 1 observer 20 persons (11 questionnaires)
- 5. Polling stations no. 22, no. 39
 - i. 1 observer 12 persons (12 questionnaires)
- 6. Polling stations no. no. 221, no. 217
 - i. 1 observer 50 persons (18 questionnaires)
- 7. Polling stations no. 212, no. 217
 - i. 1 observer 17 persons (12 questionnaires)
- 8. Polling stations no. 202, no. 217
 - i. 1 observer 19 persons (10 questionnaires)
- 9. Polling stations no. 73, no. 76
 - i. 2 observers-80 persons (20 questionnaires)
- 10. Polling stations no. 173, no. 174, nr 175
 - i. 2 observers 50 persons (23 questionnaires)

<u>Bălți Municipality (CPRM)</u> – 39 polling stations (<u>442 interviewed persons /169 questionnaires</u>)

- 1. Polling stations no. 15, no. 16
 - i. 1 observer 70 persons (16 questionnaires)

- 2. Polling station no. 37
 - i. 1 observer 18 persons (18 questionnaires)
- 3. Polling station no. 41
 - i. 1 observer 10 persons (10 questionnaires)
- 4. Polling station no. 42
 - i. 1 observer 0 persons
 - ii. 2 observers 0 persons
 - iii. 3rd observer 8 persons (8 questionnaires)
- 5. Polling station no. 44
 - i. 1 observer 15 persons (15 questionnaires)
- 6. Polling stations no. 45, no. 47, no. 51, no. 52, no. 53, no. 54, no. 55, no. 56, no. 57
 - i. 1 observer 80 persons (22 questionnaires)
 - ii. 2nd observer 60 persons (22 questionnaires)
- 7. Polling stations no. 15, no. 16, no. 18, no. 19, no. 20, no. 21, no. 22, no. 23, no. 24, no. 25, no. 26, no. 27, no. 28, no. 29, no. 30
 - i. 1 observer 100 persons (14 questionnaires)
- 8. Polling stations no. 3, no. 4, no. 5, no. 6, no. 7, no. 8, no. 9, no. 10, no. 11, no. 12, no. 13, no. 14
 - i. 1 observer 60 persons (23 questionnaires)
 - ii. 2nd observer 21 persons (21 questionnaires)

Stefan Vodă district: total - 57 interviewed persons

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM)

1 observer – 14 interviewed persons
2 observer – 10 interviewed persons
1 observer – 11 interviewed persons
Carahasani village (Independent)
1 observer – 12 interviewed persons
Popeasca village (Independent)
1 observer – 10 interviewed persons

Dubăsari district: total - 49 interviewed persons

Coșnita village (CPRM)

1 observer - 9 interviewed persons
2 observer - 10 interviewed persons
Doroţcaia village (CPRM)

1 observer - 10 interviewed persons
2 observer - 10 interviewed persons
Pîrîta village (CPRM)

1 observer - 10 interviewed persons

<u>Hîncesti district: total - 56 interviewed persons</u>

Hînceşti town (DP)

1 observer – 9 interviewed persons
1 observer – 11 interviewed persons
Lăpuşna village (LDPM)
1 observer – 12 interviewed persons
Stolniceni village (LDPM)
1 observer – 12 interviewed persons
Minjir village (CPRM)
1 observer – 12 interviewed persons

Drochia district: total - 72 persons

Drochia town (OMA)

1 observer – 10 persons
2 observer – 19 persons
Sofia village (SDP)

1 observer – 11 persons
Tarigrad village (CPRM)

1 observer – 21 persons
Chetrosu village (DP)

1 observer – 11 persons

Cahul district: total - 129 persons

Cahul town (CPRM) 1 observer - 68 persons 2 observer - 15 persons Pelinei village (Independent) 1 observer - 20 persons Larga village (CPRM) 1 observer - 16 persons Crihana Veche village (OMA) 1 observer - 10 persons

Soroca district: 119 persons

Soroca town (NLP) (correction - LDPM) 1 Observer – 10 persons

2 observer– 13 persons

Volovița village (CPRM) 1 observer – 12 persons Căinarii Vechi village (Independent) 1 observer – 36 persons

Stoicani village (OMA) 1 observer – 25 persons

NISPORENI district: 60 persons

Nisporeni town (LP) 24 questionnaires (24 persons) Bărboieni village (Independent) 12 questionnaires (20 persons) Bălăurești village (Independent) 12 questionnaires (12 persons) Soltănești village (CPRM) 12 questionnaires (12 persons)

TARACLIA district: 66 persons

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) 43 questionnaires (43 persons)
Cairaclia village (CPRM) 10 questionnaires (10 persons)
Albota de Jos village (SDP) 13 questionnaires (13 persons)

Găgăuz Autonomy: 12 persons

Copceac village (Independent) 12 questionnaires (12 persons)

3. Criteria of interviewed persons selection:

A. persons born before the year of 1940:

Chisinău Municipality (LP) - 30 persons

Bălți Municipality (CPRM) - 55 persons

Stefan Vodă district:

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM) 1 observer – 3 persons Antonești village (Independent) 1 observer – 3 persons Popeasca village (Independent) 1 observer – 3 persons

Hîncesti district:

Hînceşti town (DP) 1 observer – 3 persons 2 observer – 2 persons Lăpuşna village (LDPM) 1 observer – 10 persons Stolniceni village (LDPM) 1 observer – 8 persons Minjir village (CPRM) 1 observer – 11 persons

Drochia district:

Chetrosu village (DP) 1 observer – 2 persons Sofia village (SDP) 1 observer – 2 persons

Soroca district:

Stoicani village (OMA) 1 observer – 3 persons

NISPORENI district:

Nisporeni town (PL) 1 person Soltănești village (CPRM) 5 persons Bărboieni village (Independent) 2 persons

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) 16 persons Cairaclia village (CPRM) 1 person Albota de Jos village (SDP) 3 persons

B. persons who reached the age of 18 y. o.:

Bălți Municipality (CPRM): 9 persons

Stefan Vodă district:

Popeasca village (Independent) 1 observer – 1 person

Hînceşti district:

Hînceşti town (DP) 1 observer – 1 person

Soroca district:

Stoicani village (OMA) 1 observer – 3 persons

C. 4 or more persons at the same address:

<u>Chişinău Municipality (PL):</u> 48 persons

Bălți Municipality (CPRM): 32 persons

Stefan Vodă district:

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM) 1 observer – 1 person Carahasani village (Independent) 1 observer – 3 persons Antonești village (Independent) 1 observer – 8 persons Popeasca village (Independent) 1 observer – 1 person

Dubăsari district:

Coşnita village (CPRM) 1 observer – 5 persons

2 observer – 2 persons

Doroţcaia village (CPRM) 1 observer – 2 persons

2 observer – 4 persons

Pîrîta village (CPRM) 1 observer – 6 persons

Hînceşti district:

Hînceşti town (DP) 1 observer – 3 persons

2 observer – 4 persons

Stolniceni village (LDPM) 1 observer – 2 persons

Drochia district:

Țarigrad village (CPRM)1 observer - 3 personsChetrosu village (DP)1 observer - 4 personsSofia village (SDP)1 observer - 4 persons

Cahul district:

Cahul town (CPRM) 1 observer – 4 persons Crihana Veche village (OMA) 1 observer – 5 persons Larga village (CPRM) 1 observer – 5 persons

Soroca district:

Soroca town (NLP) (correction - LDPM) 1 observer – 4 persons

Stoicani village (OMA) 1 observer – 2 persons Volovița village (CPRM) 1 observer – 3 persons Căinarii Vechi village (Independent) 1 observer – 2 persons

NISPORENI district:

Nisporeni town (PL) 11 persons Soltănești village (CPRM) 4 persons Bărboieni village (Independent) 2 persons Bălăurești village (Independent) 4 persons

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) 17 persons Cairaclia village (CPRM) 1 person Albota de Jos village (SDP) 4 persons

Găgăuz Autonomy:

Copceac village (Independent) 9 persons

D. At random:

Chisinău Municipality (PL): 65 persons

Bălți Municipality (CPRM): 65 persons

Stefan Vodă district:

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM) 1 observer – 9 persons

2 observer – 10 persons

Carahasani village (Independent) 1 observer – 9 persons Antonești village (Independent) 1 observer – 1 person Popeasca village (Independent) 1 observer – 4 persons

Dubăsari district:

Coșnita village (CPRM) 1 observer – 5 persons

2 observer – 7 persons

Doroţcaia village (CPRM) 1 observer – 8 persons

2 observer – 6 persons

Pîrîta village (CPRM) 1 observer – 4 persons

Hîncesti district:

Hînceşti town (DP) 1 observer – 2 persons

2 observer – 5 persons

Lăpușna village (LDPM) 1 observer – 2 persons Stolniceni village (LDPM) 1 observer – 2 persons Minjir village (CPRM) 1 observer – 1 persons

Drochia district:

Drochia town (OMA) 1 observer – 18 persons Țarigrad village (CPRM) 1 observer – 10 persons Sofia village (SDP) 1 observer – 4 persons

Cahul district:

Cahul town (CPRM) 1 observer – 41 persons
Crihana Veche village (OMA) 1 observer – 3 persons
Larga village (CPRM) 1 observer – 11 persons
Pelinei village (Independent) 1 observer – 7 persons

Soroca district:

Soroca town (NLP) (correction - LDPM) 1 observer – 17 persons

Stoicani village (OMA) 1 observer – 4 persons

Căinarii Vechi village (Independent) 1 observer – 10 persons

Voloviţa village (CPRM) 1 observer – 9 persons

NISPORENI district:

Nisporeni town (PL) 11 persons Bărboieni village (Independent) 8 persons Bălăurești village (Independent) 8 persons

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) 14 persons Cairaclia village (CPRM) 8 persons Albota de Jos village (SDP) 6 persons

Găgăuz Autonomy:

Copceac village (Independent) 3 persons

E. Students' boarding houses

<u>Chişinău Municipality (PL)</u>: 20 persons

F. The residence registration recently modified:

Bălti Municipality (CPRM): 1 questionnaire

4. Describe several cases of certain violations, found in compiling the electoral rolls (including the cases when the rolls were not verified)

A. persons were written down several times (*insignificant - see below***):**

Chişinău Municipality (LP) - 1

1. Polling station no. 110 (1 person)

Dubăsari district:

Doroţcaia village (CPRM) (1 person)

Drochia district:

Chetrosu village (DP) (a small number of persons)

Soroca district:

Căinarii Vechi village (Independent) (5 persons)

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) 1 observer (a person under her maiden name and her

husband's name, being included twice in different

addresses)

2 observer (persons having the same data– 1 case, persons with ID identical data, though different addresses -1, different persons, though having the

same address, the same ID number – 1)

Albota de Jos village (SDP) 1 observer (2 cases)

B. persons who are abroad and are excluded from the rolls or are not included in the electoral rolls:

Chisinău Municipality (LP) - 2

Polling station no. 202 and no. 217 - 2 persons

Dubăsari district:

Doroţcaia village (CPRM) (the majority) Pîrîta village (CPRM)

Cahul district:

Larga village (CPRM)

TARACLIA district:

Albota de Jos village (SDP) a candidate for the MP function, representing the

CPRM, Zinaida Chistruga, has ordered to exclude from the rolls the persons gone abroad. According to the observer's report, these amendments were not

done by the LPAs.

C. Persons having the right to vote are not included in the rolls:

Chisinău Municipality (LP) – 9 persons:

Polling station no. 73 – 3 persons (2 of them have changed their name, 1-

his recent residence registration)

Polling station no. 76 – 5 persons (4 of them have changed their name, 1

person has reached 18 y. o.)

Polling station no. 212 – 1 person

<u>**Bălți Municipality (CPRM)**</u> – 2 persons

Soroca district:

Soroca town (NLP) (correction - LDPM) - 14 persons
Voloviţa village (CPRM) - 6 persons
Stoicani village (OMA) - 4 persons
Căinarii Vechi village (Independent) - 2 persons

Cahul district:

Cahul town (CPRM) – 1 person

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) – 1 person

D. Deceased persons are included in the rolls:

<u>Chişinău Municipality (LP) – 10 persons</u> (in 5 polling stations – the total number of polling stations in Chişinău Municipality (LP)

is 293)

1. Polling station no. 73 – 2 persons

2. Polling station no. 202 and no. 217 – 5 persons (rolls of July 13, 2009)

3. Polling station no. 212 – 2 persons

4. Polling station no. 221 - 1 person (however, he is neither included in

the roll received from the CEC)

Bălți Municipality (CPRM) – 3 persons

Dubăsari district:

Doroţcaia village (CPRM) (1 person)

Stefan Vodă district:

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM) (1 person) it was solved on the observer's

request

Cahul district:

Pelinei village (Independent) – 2 persons

Soroca district:

Căinarii Vechi village (Independent) – 6 persons

NISPORENI district:

Nisporeni town (LP) 1 observer – 1 identified case

2 observers – 10 identified cases

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) 1 identified case

E. There are included - persons who have withdrawn their residence registration/ unidentifiable persons, incorrect addresses:

Chişinău Municipality (LP) - 1854

1.	Polling station	no. 73	– 9 unidentifiable persons; 2 persons who
			have withdrawn their residence registration; 1
			block of flats that does not exist;

2. Polling station no. 76 – 1 unidentifiable person, however included in the roll

3. Polling station no. 110 – 1 person who has withdrawn his residence registration, 4 persons have changed their

domicile 4 years ago

4. Polling station no. 132 – several persons whose residence

registrations have expired from the boarding houses on the 61/2 and 61/3 Korelenko str.

5. Polling stations no. 202 and no. 217 – 9 persons who have withdrawn their

residence registration (rolls of July 13, 2009)

6. Polling station no. 217 – 3017 MTU graduates, are from

Chişinău, respectively $60\ \%$ out of 3017

(\sim 1800) who were not excluded from the rolls

7. Polling station no. 212 – 12 persons have changed their place of

residence; 1 unidentifiable person

8. Polling station no. 217 – 14 persons (graduates)

Bălți Municipality (CPRM) - 7

1. 4 persons – have withdrawn their residence registration

2. 1 address3. 2 addresses4 does not exist5 incorrect

Stefan Vodă district:

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM) (3 persons) was solved on the observer's

request

Dubăsari district:

Doroţcaia village (CPRM) (2 persons)

Hînceşti district:

Hînceşti town (DP) (at the address where 2 persons are already

registered – there are 4 more persons written down, who moved out [changed registration]

10 years ago)

Cahul district:

Cahul town (CPRM) – 2 persons

Soroca district:

Voloviţa village (CPRM) – 4 persons

Căinarii Vechi village (Independent) – 5 persons who changed their name

NISPORENI district:

Nisporeni town (LP) 1 observer – 7 cases identified

2nd observer – 3 cases identified

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) 2 cases identified

F. The number and the series of the ID are missing:

Cahul district:

Larga village (CPRM) – (the number of persons is unknown)

Soroca district:

Căinarii Vechi village (Independent) – 31 persons

Voloviţa village (CPRM) – 49 persons

NISPORENI district:

Nisporeni town (LP) – 138 cases

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) – cca 40% out of 1626, the number of persons

included in the electoral rolls (Polling station no. 2)

G. There were no serious and multiple violations in the process electoral rolls compilation:

Chisinău Municipality (LP) - 4 polling stations

- 1. Polling station no. 129
- 2. Polling station no. 29
- 3. Polling station no. 22
- 4. Polling station no. 39

Stefan Vodă district:

Popeasca village (Independent) Carahasani village (Independent) Antonești village (Independent)

Dubăsari district:

Coșnita village (CPRM)

Hîncesti district:

Lăpușna village (LDPM) Stolniceni village (LDPM) Minjir village (CPRM)

Drochia district:

Drochia town (OMA) Sofia village (SDP)

Țarigrad village (CPRM)(CEC information was not complete, deceased persons –only
up to April 5, 2009)

Cahul district:

Crihana Veche village (OMA)

NISPORENI district:

Soltănești village (CPRM) Bălăurești village (Independent) Bărboieni village (Independent)

TARACLIA district:

Cairaclia village (CPRM)

5. Statements by observers during door-to-door visits:

A. The address exists and the person was found/contacted:

Chişinău Municipality (LP) - 71

Polling station	no. 173, no. 174, no. 175	 23 questionnaires
Polling station	no. 22, no. 39	 8 questionnaires
Polling station	no. 22, no. 29	- 11 questionnaires
Polling station	no. 212, no. 217	 1 questionnaire
Polling station	no. 132, no. 120	 2 questionnaires
Polling station	no. 110, no. 120	 6 questionnaires
Polling station	no. 120, no. 129	 7 questionnaires
Polling station	no. 73, no. 76	 13 questionnaires
	Polling station Polling station Polling station Polling station Polling station Polling station	Polling station no. 173, no. 174, no. 175 Polling station no. 22, no. 39 Polling station no. 22, no. 29 Polling station no. 212, no. 217 Polling station no. 132, no. 120 Polling station no. 110, no. 120 Polling station no. 120, no. 129 Polling station no. 73, no. 76

Bălţi Municipality (CPRM)

- 116 questionnaires

Stefan Vodă district: total - 37 persons

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM)	- 13 interviewed persons
Carahasani village (Independent)	- 12 interviewed persons
Antonești village (Independent)	- 5 interviewed persons
Popeasca village (Independent)	- 7 interviewed persons

<u>Dubăsari district: total - 37 persons</u>

Coșnita village (CPRM)	- 18 interviewed persons
Doroţcaia village (CPRM)	- 9 interviewed persons
Pîrîta village (CPRM)	- 10 interviewed persons

Hîncești district: total - 41 persons

Hînceşti town (DP)	- 20 interviewed persons
Lăpușna village (LDPM)	- 12 interviewed persons
Stolniceni village (LDPM)	 7 interviewed persons
Minjir village (CPRM)	- 12 interviewed persons

Drochia district: total - 53 persons

Drochia town (OMA)	- 21 interviewed persons
Ţarigrad village (CPRM)	- 12 interviewed persons
Chetrosu village (DP)	- 10 interviewed persons
Sofia village (SDP)	- 10 interviewed persons

Soroca district, total - 35 persons

Soroca town (NLP) (correction - LDPM)	-	19
interviewed persons		
Stoicani village (OMA)	7 interviewed persons	
Căinarii Vechi village (Independent)	 4 interviewed persons 	
Voloviţa village (CPRM)	 5 interviewed persons 	
Page 59 of 85		

Cahul district, total - 65 persons

Cahul town (CPRM)	- 29 interviewed persons
Crihana Veche village (OMA)	- 10 interviewed persons
Larga village (CPRM)	- 14 interviewed persons
Pelinei village (Independent)	- 12 interviewed persons

NISPORENI district:

Nisporeni town (LP)	1 observer - 12 persons
	2 observer - 4 persons
Soltănești village (CPRM)	1 observer - 9 persons
Bălăurești village (Independent)	1 observer - 10 persons
Bărboieni village (Independent)	1 observer – 12 persons

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina)	1 observer – 17 persons
	2 observer – 18 persons
Cairaclia village (CPRM)	1 observer - 10 persons
Albota de Jos village (SDP)	1 observer - 13 persons

<u>Găgăuz Autonomy - total 12 persons :</u>

Copceac village (Independent) 1 observer – 12 persons

B. The address exists, however the person is temporarily away (including - is abroad):

Chişinău Municipality (LP) - 11 questionnaires

1.	Polling station	no. 217, no. 221	– 1 questionnaire
2.	Polling station	no. 132, no. 120	3 questionnaires
3.	Polling station	no. 110, no. 120	3 questionnaires
4.	Polling station	no. 120, no. 129	1 questionnaire (25 persons)

5. Polling station no. 73, no. 76 – 3 questionnaires

<u>Bălți Municipality (CPRM)</u> – 12 questionnaires

Stefan Vodă district: total - 15 persons

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM)	- 3 interviewed persons
	 3 interviewed persons
Antonești village (Independent)	 6 interviewed persons
Popeasca village (Independent)	- 3 interviewed persons

<u>Dubăsari district: total - 9 persons</u>

Doroţcaia village (CPRM) – 9 interviewed persons

<u>Hînceşti district: total - 10 persons</u>

Hînceşti town (DP) – 5 interviewed persons Lăpuşna village (LDPM) – 5 interviewed persons

<u>Drochia district, total (3 persons)</u>

Drochia town (OMA) – 1 interviewed persons Țarigrad village (CPRM) – 1 interviewed persons Chetrosu village (DP) – 1 interviewed persons

Soroca district, total (10 persons)

Stoicani village (OMA)

Căinarii Vechi village (Independent)

S .Volovița

- 3 interviewed persons
- 2 interviewed persons
- 5 interviewed persons

<u>Cahul district, total (17 persons)</u>

Cahul town (CPRM)

Crihana Veche village (OMA)

S Larga

- 15 interviewed persons

- 1 interviewed persons

- 1 interviewed persons

NISPORENI district: total 3 persons

Nisporeni town (LP) 2 observer – 1 person Bălăurești village (Independent) 1 observer – 2 persons

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) 2 observer – 5 persons

C. The address exists, however the person changed its residence registration:

Chisinău Municipality (LP) - 20 - questionnaires

1.	Polling stati	on no. 212, no. 217	- 1 questionnaire (3 students - registration
	1 0111119 0 00001	011 1101 212) 1101 217	expired July 1, 2009) + 7 questionnaires + 1
			questionnaire (300 students on the lists)
2.	Polling station	nr 202, no. 217	- 1 questionnaire (+ 1 person deceased)
3.	Polling station	nr 202, no. 217	- 5 questionnaires
4.	Polling station	no. 132, no. 120	- 1 questionnaire
5.	Polling station	no. 110, no. 120	- 2 questionnaires
6.	Polling station	no. 129, no. 120	- 1 questionnaire
7.	Polling station	no. 73, no. 76	– 1 questionnaire

Bălți Municipality (CPRM)

- 6 questionnaires

Stefan Vodă district: total - 1 person

Antonești village (Independent)

- 1 person interviewed

Soroca district, total (7 persons)

Soroca town (NLP) (correction - LDPM) — 2 interviewed persons Căinarii Vechi village (Independent) — 4 interviewed persons Volovița village (CPRM) — 1 person interviewed

NISPORENI district:

Nisporeni town (LP) 2 observer – 2 persons

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) 1 observer – 2 persons 2 observer – 1 person

D. The address exists, however the person is dead:

Chişinău Municipality (LP) - 10 questionnaires

Polling station no. 212, no. 217 – 2 questionnaires
 Polling station nr 202, no. 217 – 4 questionnaires
 Polling station no. 132, no. 120 – 2 questionnaires
 Polling station no. 73, no. 76 – 2 questionnaires

<u>Bălti Municipality (CPRM) – 6 questionnaires</u>

Stefan Vodă district: total - 3 persons

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM) – 2 interviewed persons Antonești village (Independent) – 1 person interviewed

<u>Dubăsari district: total - 1 person</u>

Doroţcaia village (CPRM) – 1 interviewed persons

NISPORENI district: total - 1 person

Nisporeni town (LP) 2 observer – 1 person

TARACLIA district: total - 1 person

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) 2 observer – 1 person

E. The address exists, however the person does not live on this address:

Chişinău Municipality (LP) - 5 questionnaires

1. Polling station no. 212, no. 217 – 1 questionnaire

2. Polling station no. 202, no. 217 – 1 questionnaire (the owner does not

know who these persons are, A. Doga

34, ap. 51)

3. Polling station no. 132, no. 120 – 2 questionnaires 4. Polling station no. 73, no. 76 – 1 questionnaire

<u>**Bălți Municipality (CPRM)**</u> – 3 questionnaires

Stefan Vodă district: total - 1 person

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM) – 2 interviewed persons

<u>Dubăsari district: total - 1 person</u>

Coșnita village (CPRM) – 1 interviewed persons

Hînceşti district: total - 2 persons

Hînceşti town (DP) – 2 interviewed persons

NISPORENI district: total - 5 persons

Nisporeni town (LP) 2 observer – 5 persons

TARACLIA district: total – 1 person

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) 1 observer – 1 person

F. The address does not exist, the person was not contacted:

Chisinău Municipality (LP) - 1 questionnaire

1. Polling station no. 120, no. 129 – 1 questionnaire

<u>Bălți Municipality (CPRM)</u> – 6 questionnaires

G. The address does not exist or is wrong, however, the person was contacted

<u>Chişinău Municipality - 1 questionnaire</u>

1. Polling station no. 132, no. 120 – 1 questionnaire

<u>Bălți Municipality (CPRM)</u> – 7 questionnaires

H. The address exists, however the person was not contacted

Chişinău Municipality (LP) - 2 questionnaires

1. Polling station no. 129, no. 120 – 2 questionnaires

Bălți Municipality (CPRM) – 12 questionnaires

- 6. Did the Polling Station Bureaus (PSB) receive the electoral rolls by July 14? Report how many PSBs received then and how many did not. Motives by LPAs.
 - A. Yes, the polling station bureaus received the electoral rolls by July 14:

Chisinău Municipality (LP) - 4 PSBs

- 1. Polling stations no. 173, 174, 175 (on 13.07.09)
- 2. Polling station no. 39 14.07.09

Bălți Municipality (CPRM) - 38 PSBs

1. Polling stations no. 3 – 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 37, 44, 45, 47, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57 (14.07.09)

Stefan Vodă district:

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM) - PSBNo.1 (July14) Carahasani village (Independent) (July 12)

Dubăsari district:

Coșnita village (CPRM) PSB No.1 (July14)

Hînceşti district:

Hînceşti town (DP) PSB3 (July14)

PSB2 (July 15)

Lăpușna village (LDPM) (July14) Stolniceni village (LDPM) (July14) Minjir village (CPRM) (July14)

Drochia district:

Drochia town (OMA) Sofia village (SDP)

Cahul district:

Cahul town (CPRM) (PSB no. 7/1-2)

Pelinei village (Independent)

Larga village (CPRM)

Soroca district:

Soroca town (NLP) (correction - LDPM) Volovița village (CPRM)

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) 1 observer – Polling station no. 1 (July14)

2 observer – Polling station no. 2 (July14)

Cairaclia village (CPRM) (July 12)

Albota de Jos village (SDP) (July14)

B. No, the PSBs did not receive the electoral rolls by July14. When?:

Chişinău Municipality (LP) - 11 PSBs

1. Polling station no. 22 (the PSB was not formed by 16.07.09)

2. Polling stations no. 29, 217, 221, 212, 202, 132, 120, 110, 129

(on 15.07.09)

3. Polling stations 73, 76 (on 16.07.09)

Page **65** of **85**

Bălți Municipality (CPRM) - 2 PSBs

1. Polling stations no. 41, 42 (la 15.07.09)

Stefan Vodă district:

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM) PSB No.2 (July 15, when PSB was formed)

Popeasca village (Independent) (did not receive the lists from the CEC in the

monitored period)

Antonești village (Independent) (did not receive the lists from the CEC in the

monitored period, PSB was formed on July14)

Dubăsari district:

Coșnita village (CPRM) PSB No.2 (July 15)

PSB No.3 (did not receive the lists from the CEC in

the monitored period)

Doroțcaia village (CPRM) PSBNo.1 (July 16 from the LPA)

Pîrîta village (CPRM) PSB (July 16 – LPA needed to do some rectifications)

Cahul district:

Cahul town (CPRM) PSB no. 7/1-4 on 15July at the moment the PSB was

formed

Crihana Veche village (OMA) one PSB on July 15 and another on July 16 (rolls sent

by the LPAs)

Drochia district:

Tarigrad village (CPRM) PSB45, 46 on July 15, (unspecified)

Chetrosu village (DP) all the three PSBs received the electoral rolls on July

15 (motive – LPA needed more time to compile the

data)

Soroca district:

Stoicani village (OMA) PSB received the electoral rolls on July 15 (could not

explain why)

s. Căinarii Vechi the rolls were not received even on July 16 (motive -

CEC delays the answer).

NISPORENI district:

Nisporeni town (LP) 1 observer - Polling station no. 23/1 (July 15)

2 observer - Polling station no. 23/3 (July 15)

Bălăurești village (Independent) (July 17)

Soltănești village (CPRM) (July 16)

Bărboieni village (Independent) (July 15)

Găgăuz Autonomy:

Copceac village (Independent) (July 15)

- 7. Have the PSBs publicly displayed the electoral rolls on July14? If not, when did they do it? How did they explain?
- A. Yes, the PSBs publicly displayed the electoral rolls on July14:

Bălți Municipality (CPRM)

1. Polling station no. 10

Stefan Vodă district:

Carahasani village (Independent) (on July 12)

Dubăsari district:

Coșnita village (CPRM) PSB No.2 (July14)

Hîncesti district:

Hînceşti town (DP) PSB No.3 (July14)

Cahul district:

Cahul town (CPRM) PSB no. 2

Soroca district:

Soroca town (NLP) (correction - LDPM)

Voloviţa village (CPRM)

|--|

Cairaclia village (CPRM)

(July 12)

B. Yes, polling stations have publicly displayed the electoral rolls, however after July14. Motive:

Chisinău Municipality (PL)

1. Polling station no. 132 - 15. 07.09 (late arrival of the lists from the CEC)

Bălti Municipality (CPRM)

Polling station no. 10

Stefan Vodă district:

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM)

Polling station No.2 (July 16) (received the rolls on July 15)

Dubăsari district:

Coșnita village (CPRM) Pîrîta village (CPRM)

PSBNo.2 (received the rolls on July 15) (July 16 – there were some changes)

Drochia district:

Tarigrad village (CPRM)

- PSB no. 45, 46 publicly displayed the electoral rolls on July 15 after receiving them from the LPAs

Cahul district:

Pelinei village (Independent)

- on July 15

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) (Polling station no. 1)

(July 16)

Găgăuz Autonomy:

Copceac village (Independent) (July 16 : late establishment of the PSB)

C. No, the PSBs did not publicly display the electoral rolls by the end of the monitoring (July 16). Motives - they are not publicly displayed, however they are at people's disposal:

Chişinău Municipality (PL)

1.	Polling station	73, 76	(late establishment of the PSB, 16-17.07)
2.	Polling station	no. 120, 129	(observers meeting was not organised, will be
			displayed on July20)
3.	Polling station	110,	
4.	Polling station	no. 202	(there had to be a meeting on 16.07.09)
5.	Polling station	no. 217	(are at disposal as of 16.07.09)
6.	Polling station	no. 221	(in the drawer of commissions chairman, 16.07.09
7.	Polling station	no.29	(in the safe box of the polling station, as of 15. 07.09)
8.	Polling station	no. 22	(PSB not established)
9.	Polling station	no. 39	(are kept in a safe place: on the table, however,
			without allowing free access, while at night - in the
			safe box, as of 15.07)
10	Polling station	no. 173, 174, 1	75

10. Polling station no. 1/3, 1/4, 1/5

(on 16.07 they were only approved)

Bălti Municipality (CPRM)

1.	Polling station	no. 37, 41, 42, 44	(on the table, as of 15.07.09)
2.	Polling station	no. 1-14	(with the exception of PSB no. 10, 11, 4, 9
	J		(lack of space for public display)
3.	Polling station	no. 15 – 30, 45, 47, 51	. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57

(in the safe box, as of 16.07.09)

Stefan Vodă district:

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM)	Polling station No.1 (was closed)
Antonești village (Independent)	(received the rolls with a delay from the CEC)
Popeasca village (Independent)	(received the rolls with a delay from the CEC)

Dubăsari district:

Coșnita village (CPRM) PSB No.3 (did not receive the rolls in the monitoring period) Doroţcaia village (CPRM) (are available in the LPA building as of July 15)

Hînceşti district:

Stolniceni village (LDPM) (will be displayed on 20 July) Minjir village (CPRM) (accessible in LPA building)

Cahul district:

Cahul town (CPRM) (PSB no. 7/1-4) – on July 15

Larga village (CPRM) – on July 15

Crihana Veche village (OMA) – on July 16 (time insufficiency)

Drochia district:

Drochia town (OMA) – were made available on table as on July 16,

at the time when the PSB was established

Chetrosu village (DP) – on July 15, made available at PSB

s Sofia – made available at PSB

Soroca district:

Stoicani village (OMA) – made available on July 15 Căinarii Vechi village (Independent) – were not publicly displayed by

July 16 (motive – unknown)

NISPORENI district:

Nisporeni town (LP) (July 17 : late arrival of the rolls from the CEC)

Bărboieni village (Independent) (July 17 : late arrival of the rolls from the CEC)

Bălăurești village (Independent) (July 17 : late establishment of the PSB)

Soltănești village (CPRM) (July 17 : late establishment of the PSB)

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) (Polling station no. 2)

(will not display at all: lack of space; if citizens request will be placed at their

disposal/view)

Albota de Jos village (SDP) (July 17 : late establishment of the PSB)

- 8. Describe if the population was informed about the need to verify the lists, and how (by whom authorities, national or regional TV, radio, etc.)?
- A. Yes, it was informed (by whom - authorities, national or regional TV, radio, etc.):

Chisinău Municipality (LP) - via TV, internet

1. Polling station no. 22, 29, 39, 73, 76, 110, 120, 129, 132, 173, 174, 175, 202, 212, 217, 221

Bălti Municipality (CPRM) - via TV, internet

1. Polling station no. 37, 41, 42, 44, 3-14, 15-30, 45, 47, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57

Stefan Vodă district:

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM) (by authorities, national or regional TV, radio)

Popeasca village (Independent) (LPA)

Carahasani village (Independent) (LPA, radio)

Antonești village (Independent) (LPA)

Dubăsari district:

Coșnita village (CPRM) (TRM video publicity)
Doroțcaia village (CPRM) (TRM video publicity)

Hînceşti district:

Hînceşti town (DP) authorities, national or regional TV, radio

Drochia district:

Drochia town (OMA) national and regional TV, verbally by

authorities

Ţarigrad village (CPRM)national TV, 200 leaflets distributed in the

village

Cahul district:

Cahul town (CPRM) national TV, local newspaper, posters
Larga village (CPRM) national TV, verbally by authorities

Soroca district:

Soroca town (NLP) (correction - LDPM) regional TV, by LPA at the moment of

electoral rolls compilation (door-to-door

visits)

Stoicani village (OMA) national TV, radio, by authorities

Căinarii Vechi village (Independent) regional TV, radio Volovița village (CPRM) regional TV, radio

NISPORENI district:

Nisporeni town (LP) (Polling station no. 23/1) (TV, radio)

Nisporeni town (LP) (Polling station no. 23/3) (TV, radio: citizens know their rights,

however, do not know the procedure:

the date, the place, the modality)

Soltănești village (CPRM) (TV, radio) Bărboieni village (Independent) (TV, radio)

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) (Polling station no. 1) (TV – canal no. 4, radio)

B. No, was not informed:

Dubăsari district:

Pîrîta village (CPRM) (will be informed through leaflets)

Hîncesti district:

Lăpușna village (LDPM) Stolniceni village (LDPM) Minjir village (CPRM)

Cahul district:

Pelinei village (Independent)

Drochia district:

Sofia village (SDP)

NISPORENI district:

Bălăurești village (Independent) (citizens do not know their rights to verify the rolls and do not see the need)

TARACLIA district:

Cairaclia village (CPRM) (LPA invoked: there is no need for information, the

lists are publicly displayed)

Albota de Jos village (SDP) (LPA maintains that it **will** inform the citizens

through the leaders of peasants association, as well

as by phone in case of elders)

C. Was partially informed:

Drochia district:

Chetrosu village (DP) (only the persons who addressed to LPA with other

issues)

Cahul district:

Crihana Veche village (OMA) (on the LPA billboard and the persons who addressed to

LPA with other issues)

Găgăuz Autonomy:

Copceac village (Independent) (At the moment when the rolls were verified

by the LPA)

9. Did you observe differences between electoral rolls presented on July14 and those sent by the LPAs to the CEC on July 6, 2009?

A. Yes, there were significant differences between electoral rolls presented on July14 and those sent by the LPAs to the CEC on July 6, 2009.

Drochia district:

Sofia village (SDP) – persons who have changed their names, domicile, the

new-comers and persons who have withdrawn their residence registration were included in the rolls

Tarigrad village (CPRM) – 9 excluded persons, 8 excluded persons according to the

CEC letter from July 10, 1 person who has died meanwhile

Chetrosu village (DP) — there were made amendments according to the data

received from the CEC and persons were included from

those who addressed themselves to LPAs

Găgăuz Autonomy:

Copceac village (Independent) (deceased persons excluded; **persons who went abroad are excluded)**

B. Yes, there are minor differences:

Chisinău Municipality (PL)

1. City sector Centru – based on the information from the polling station no. 129, 120 (2 persons), 110(2 persons), 132 (35 persons more in the rolls of 14.07.09 - 2597)

Bălți Municipality (CPRM) - the rolls of July 6 - 105 830, the rolls of July 14 - 105 698

Stefan Vodă district:

Antonești village (Independent)

Dubăsari district:

Coșnita village (CPRM)

Cahul district:

Cahul town (CPRM)
Pelinei village (Independent)
Larga village (CPRM)

Drochia district:

Drochia town (OMA)
Soroca town (NLP) (correction - LDPM)
Volovița village (CPRM)

NISPORENI district:

Nisporeni town (LP)

(Polling station no. 23/1) (amendments: deceased persons excluded \sim 20, persons with new residence registration in the locality included \sim 3-5, however 8 deceased persons were not excluded from the

rolls)

Bălăurești village (Independent)

(amendments: persons who have ask for a vote certificate were excluded)

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) (Polling station no. 1)

(amendments: persons who died within the period of July 6-

12 were excluded)

Cairaclia village (CPRM) (1 deceased person excluded)

C. NO, there were no differences between electoral rolls presented on July14 and those sent by the LPAs to the CEC on July 6, 2009.

Chişinău Municipality

1. City sector Botanica (there was and there is the same number

135 000)

2. City sector Ciocana

Stefan Vodă district:

Popeasca village (Independent) (the motive was that they received the rolls

from the CEC)

Carahasani village (Independent)

Dubăsari district:

Doroțcaia village (CPRM) Pîrîta village (CPRM)

Hînceşti district:

Hîncești town (DP) Lăpușna village (LDPM) Stolniceni village (LDPM) Minjir village (CPRM)

Cahul district:

Crihana Veche village (OMA)

Soroca district:

Stoicani village (OMA)

TARACLIA district:

Albota de Jos village (SDP)

(the old format of the electoral roll presented by CEC during the whole month of June 2009 was replaced by the new format from the end of June)

D. Observers did not have access at least to one roll (the roll of July 6, or the roll of July 14).

Chişinău Municipality (PL)

1. City sector Râșcani (there were made amendments directly on the rolls

of July 6)

2. City sector Buiucani (the rolls of April 5)

Soroca district:

Căinarii Vechi village (Independent) (they did not have access to the rolls of July 14)

10. Did you observe or not any differences between the electoral rolls of April 5 and those of July 14, 2009?

A. Differences found after July 16:

The data were received after the monitoring finished:

Location	April 5, 2009	July 16, 2009
Chişinău Municipality (LP) – General – 627 889 (according to CEC data from March 17,	Observers: 414 078 (without Buiucani)	Observers: 499 210
Chișinău Municipality (LP) - City sector Centru	78 232 (including the military staff, penitentiaries, hospitals), 74 593 (without the military staff, penitentiaries, hospitals)	74 711 (without the military staff, penitentiaries, hospitals)
Chişinău Municipality (LP) – City sector Botanica	134 200	135 000

Chişinău Municipality (LP) – City sector Râșcani	121 179	119 179
Chişinău Municipality (LP) – City sector Ciocana	84 106 (without persons who have taken a vote certificate)	86 320
Bălți Municipality (CPRM)	105 441 (however there are contradictory data: 105 650/105 628)	105 698
Stefan Vodă district: Stefan Vodă town (CPRM)	5232	5546
Stefan Vodă district: Carahasani village (Independent)	2459	2461
Stefan Vodă district: Antonești village (Independent)	2010	2029
Stefan Vodă district: Popeasca village (Independent)	1638	1735
Dubăsari district: Coșnița	3144	3685
Dubăsari district: Doroțcaia	2160	2715
Dubăsari district: Pîrîta	2123	2033
Hîncești district: Or. Hîncești	13588	13911
Hîncești district: Minjir village (CPRM)	3184	3239
Hîncești district: Stolniceni village (MLDP)	1696	1666

Hîncești district:	4636	4420
s. Lăpușna		
Soroca district: Soroca town (NLP) (correction - LDPM) (new rolls)	22749	22586
Soroca district: Stoicani village (OMA)	645	647
Soroca district: Volovița village (CPRM)	1115	1008
Soroca district: Căinarii Vechi village (Independent)	No data	No data
Cahul district: Cahul town (CPRM)	31025	30708
Cahul district: Pelinei village (Independent)	1739	1762
Cahul district: Larga village (CPRM)	1163	1195
Cahul district: Crihana Veche village (OMA)	3166	3219
Drochia district: Drochia town (OMA)	11752	13236
Drochia district: Chetrosu village (DP)	3756	3990
Drochia district: Sofia village (SDP)	3525	3807

Drochia district: Țarigrad village (CPRM)	3423	3482
NISPORENI district: Nisporeni town (PL)	Polling station no. 23/1 - 2822 Polling station no. 23/3 - 2398 Total 2stations: 5220	Polling station no. 23/1 - 3173 (according to law, up to 3000 persons may be included in the supplementary rolls of one and the same PSB) Polling station no. 23/1 - 2437 Total 2 stations: 5610
NISPORENI district : Soltănești village (CPRM)	1197	1214
NISPORENI district: Bărboieni village (Independent)	652	657
NISPORENI district : Bălăurești village (Independent)	No data	No data
TARACLIA district: Taraclia town (Patria- Rodina)	No data in the majority of stations Polling station no. 2 - 1626	No data in the majority of stations Polling station no. 2 - 1626
TARACLIA district: Cairaclia village (CPRM)	1280	1269
TARACLIA district: Albota de Jos village (SDP)	525	641
Găgăuz Autonomy: Copceac village (Independent)	4730	5005

B. Observers did not have access to the rolls (those of fie din April 5 or July 14) / or have no data:

Chisinău Municipality:

City sector Buiucani - April 5 rolls (No data)/July 14 rolls - 84 000

Soroca district:

Căinarii Vechi village (Independent) – did not have access to the rolls of July14 (the rolls have not been received yet)

11. Did the citizens come to the PSB to verify the rolls?

A. No, nobody came to the PSB to verify the rolls:

Chişinău Municipality (PL)

1. Polling stations no. 73, 76	(belated establishment of the PSB)
2. Polling stations no. 120, 129	(the PSB was not established)
3. Polling station no. 202	(the PSB was not established)
4. Polling stations no. 212, 221	(however, there were online requests)
5. Polling stations no. 173, 174, 175	

Bălti Municipality (CPRM):

1. Polling stations no. 8, 15, 17, 18, 21, 56, 53, 47, 55, 57 Polling stations no. 16, 19, 20, 22, 23, 52, 54 (the PSB was not established)

Stefan Vodă district:

Popeasca village (Independent)

Dubăsari district:

Coșnita village (CPRM) Doroțcaia village (CPRM)

Hîncesti district:

Hînceşti town (DP) Lăpuşna village (LDPM) Minjir village (CPRM)

Drochia district:

Sofia village (SDP)

Țarigrad village (CPRM)

Chetrosu village (DP)

Soroca district:

Stoicani village (OMA) Căinarii Vechi village (Independent)

NISPORENI district:

Nisporeni town (LP) (no. 23/1, 23/3)	(Polling station opened on July 17)
Bărboieni village (Independent)	(Polling station opened on July 17)
Bălăurești village (Independent)	(Polling station opened on July 17)
Soltănești village (CPRM)	(Polling station opened on July 17)

TARACLIA district:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) (Polling station no. 1)

Găgăuz Autonomy:

Copceac village (Independent) (Polling station no. 49)

B. Yes, the citizens came to the PSB to verify the rolls (number):

Chisinău Municipality (LP) - 17 persons + 2 by phone + on-line:

- 1. Polling station 110 5 persons
- 2. Polling station 132 3 persons
- 3. Polling station $\,$ no. 120 5 $\,$ persons (did not have access because of the PSB members absence)
- 3. Polling station no. 29 4 persons (+many on-line requests)
- 4. Polling station no. 39 2 persons (by phone)

Bălti Municipality (CPRM) - 16 persons:

- 1. Polling station no. 37 2 persons
- 2. Polling station no. 41 1 person
- 3. Polling station no. 42 2 persons
- 4. Polling station no. 44 3 persons
- 5. Polling station no. 14 1 person
- 6. Polling station no. 51 6 persons
- 7. Polling station no. 6 1 person

Stefan Vodă district - 8 persons:

Stefan Vodă town (CPRM) (5 persons)

Antonești village (Independent) (3 persons)

<u>Dubăsari district - 3 persons :</u>

Pîrîta village (CPRM) (3 persons)

<u>Hînceşti district - 25 persons :</u>

Stolniceni village (LDPM) (25 persons)

Cahul district-min. 200 persons:

Cahul town (CPRM) (11 persons PSB no. 7/1-4, <u>175</u> persons

PSB no. 7/1-2)

Larga village (CPRM) (undetermined)
Crihana Veche village (OMA) (4 persons)
Pelinei village (Independent) (10 persons)

<u>Drochia district - 10 persons :</u>

Drochia town (OMA) (10 pers.)

Soroca district - 9 persons:

Soroca town (NLP) (correction - LDPM) (8 persons) Voloviţa village (CPRM) (1 person)

TARACLIA district - 27 persons:

Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) (Polling station no. 2)

(11 persons : from the station opening until the report completion – July 17 morning)

Cairaclia village (CPRM) (Polling station no. 16) (15 persons)

Albota de Jos village (SDP) (Polling station no. 7) (1 person)

12. The citizens who came to the polling stations -They wanted to verify whether they were included in the rolls.

Chişinău Municipality (PL):

- 1. Polling station no. 132
- 2. Polling station nr 29
- 3. Polling station no. 39

Bălti Municipality (CPRM):

- 1. Polling station no. 37, 41, 42, 44
- 2. Polling station no. 14 (vote certificate)
- 3. Polling station no. 51 (6 persons, 1 of which for the vote certificate)
- 4. Polling station no. 6

Cahul district:

Larga village (CPRM) Crihana Veche village (OMA)

Drochia district:

Drochia town (OMA)

Soroca district:

Soroca town (NLP) *(correction - LDPM)* – the LPA denial to include in the electoral rolls based on the ID copy.

TARACLIA district:

Cairaclia village (CPRM) (especially persons who have reached 18 y.o. and those who

have changed their residence registration in the respective

village)

Observers' remarks, comments / suggestions, and recommendations

- 1. **Data are still being introduced until now (the ID number and series)** Hînceşti district Minjir village (CPRM)
- 2. **insufficient training of the clerks in charge of the electoral rolls compilation** (Chetrosu village (DP)-Drochia, Căinarii Vechi village (Independent)-Soroca);
- 3. The absence of streets names in the villages has harden the electoral rolls compilation procedure

(Crihana Veche village (OMA));

- 4. The delay of the CEC data regarding the electoral rolls (many localities see where the PSB was not established in due time);
- 5. There were no LPAs clerks charged with the voters rolls compilation (Larga village (CPRM));
- 6. **The students were not verified** (Căinarii Vechi village (Independent));
- **7. Controversial information between that of the LPA and that of the citizens'** Găgăuz Autonomy, Copceac village (Independent) the observer reports that the LPA affirms that it has done the verification during the door-to-door visits, however the interviewed citizens affirm that **no** LPA clerk has contacted them.
- 8. The lack of any mechanism to verify the inclusion in the electoral rolls of the people who migrated within the country or abroad

TARACLIA district, Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) – the observer ascertains that it is quite simply to verify the inclusion or exclusion from the rolls of the deceased persons and those who have reached 18 y. o. The difficulty appears in the cases of people who migrate, change their residence registration, their name (after getting married), etc. The observer considers that, meanwhile, door-to-door visits are the most efficient way of verification.

9. The human resources insufficiency, as well as the poor population information TARACLIA district, Taraclia town (Patria-Rodina) – A poor citizens' information about their voting rights is notable. For example, the interviewed persons are not aware of their right to verify the electoral rolls, or they did not know about the opportunity to get a vote certificate, mass-media is in the hands of 2-3 parties, etc.

In this sense, the observers recommend to organize a large information campaign to inform the citizens about their electoral rights. Another observers' remark was the raise of the number of the observers who monitor the electoral process.

10. The interference of the governing party members in the electoral process

TARACLIA district, Albota de Jos village (SDP) – the observer ascertains that on July 15, a CPRM candidate has ordered to the LPA to exclude the persons gone abroad from the rolls.